

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97528
Title of the Manuscript:	Field efficacy of biocapsules of entomopathogenic fungi for the management of amaranthus leaf webber <i>Spoladea recurvalis</i> (Fabricius) Crambidae: Lepidoptera
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>Yes. This research shows the efficacy of biological agent, and the author proved that they save for environment</p> <p>Not really. Field efficacy of biocapsules of entomopathogenic fungi for the management of amaranthus leaf pest, <i>Spoladea recurvalis</i> F (Crambidae: Lepidoptera)</p> <p>Abstract need to add an introduction, purpose, and conclusion</p> <p>Need improvement</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Ok</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Ok</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Correction</p> <p>Field efficacy of biocapsules of entomopathogenic fungi for the management of amaranthus leaf webber <i>Spoladea recurvalis</i> (Fabricius) Crambidae: Lepidoptera</p> <p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It's good if the abstract starts with an introduction, then aims and ends with a conclusion 2. The number behind the dot is enough one, ex 83.7 and 70.0% 3. Add keywords 4. @ ? <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paragraph 5 and 6 should be combined 2. Add a research objective <p>Materials and methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add subtitle " Source and conservation of entomopathogenic fungi" 2. Merge subtitle 2, 3, and 4: Fungal culture and preparation of biocapsule, conidia suspension, and primary powder 3. Field assessment (merge subtitle method of application and observation) 4. All treatments are written in one paragraph <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Numbers under 10 are written in letters : three capsules 2. Fix Table and its title : not efficacy, but the number of larvae 3. Table 2: number of natural enemies 4. Add the conclusion in the last paragraph 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ade Rosmana
Department, University & Country	Hasanuddin University, Indonesia