

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_97416
Title of the Manuscript:	UNINTENTIONAL WEIGHT LOSS FROM METFORMIN DESPITE GOOD GLYCAEMIC CONTROL IN A NEWLY DIAGNOSED DIABETIC PATIENT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijmPCR.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes, but some more details are required 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes 7. There is no mention of diet at the time of diagnosis and thereafter during the period of weight loss. This could greatly substantiate the discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality ok	
Optional/General comments	Diet and physical activity plays a role in body weight The author could have made mention of these in the discussion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jemima Beryl Mohankumar
Department, University & Country	PSG College of Arts & Science, India