

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_97654
Title of the Manuscript:	A HYBRID METHOD OF BACKPROPAGATION AND PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION FOR ENHANCING ACCURATION PERFORMANCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article has a scientific value; it can serve as an additional material for the exact sciences. Specialists in this field can use in their theoretical and practical work. The title of the article matches its text. Researchers in this field should familiarize themselves with the article and its results. The structure of the article is consistent with the requirements of scientific research. I think that the manuscript is correctly written from the point of view of science. References are sufficient with the content of the work.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language specialist carefully follows the grammar of the manuscript in order to avoid mistakes.	
Optional/General comments	A specialist in exact sciences should read the article and its analyses. Only then can this manuscript be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fayzulloeva Munira Shokirhonovna
Department, University & Country	Tajik State University of Law, Business and Politics, Tajikistan