

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_97403
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Phenoxyacetic Acid Derivatives In Rainfed Rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. If the Author modify the title and discuss very well, it will be important. 2. Effect of Phenoxyacetic Acid Derivatives on Growth Parameters of Rain fed Rice(Oryza sativa L.) varieties (indicate place where it will be done) 3. No, it poor 4. Poor 5. Lacks many issues like grammar, format of paragraph, references and etc 6. There must be more references on result parts in addition to other paragraphs	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Poor	
Optional/General comments	All manuscripts must be rewrite again. And also the author must be serious on each sentences and information and discuss very well all the results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tilahun Alemayehu Zegeye
Department, University & Country	Mizan Agricultural Vocational Training College, Ethiopia