

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRNH_97729 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact of bariatric surgery on cardiovascular diseases: Integrative Review |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. YES. The manuscript brings to light the knowledge of bariatric surgery and its importance in management of both cardiovascular diseases and obesity. It also torch lights the need for food education as the greatest strategy to prevent and treat female overweight and obesity as well as lower the risk of cancer and CVD. 2. The title of the article is alright. 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. The references are sufficient and current | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language should be kept as reported speech. There is a general mix up in the tenses (I have highlighted some). The author should recast them. | |
| Optional/General comments | A List of Abbreviation should be provided. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Elizabeth Umoren |
| Department, University & Country | PAMO University of Medical Sciences, Nigeria |