

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_97666
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHARMACOLOGICAL ACTIONS OF BRASSAIOPSIS HAINLA LEAVES IN THE CONSTRAINT OF HELMINTHS, THROMBOLYTIC, CYTOTOXIC, DIARRHEA, PYREXIA: IN VIVO, IN VITRO APPROACHES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>By the method the author has treated and presented his work; I think the study is not really important</b></li> <li>- <b>The title is very general, the author must only work on one disease to good master the problem</b></li> <li>- <b>The abstract contains difficulties to understand the aim the aim of the study so It should be reorganized!!!!!!!!!!</b></li> <li>- <b>There are a lot of subtitles!!!</b></li> <li>- <b>The manuscript should be corrected and reorganized to be scientifically correct</b></li> <li>- <b>The conclusion is very short It must contains all the important results the author has found and also It must contain recommendations and perspectives</b></li> <li>- <b>With more than 38 references, we can say this these later are sufficient</b></li> </ul> <p><b>Apart from above mentioned 6 points</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>In the Introduction we find a long paragraph without any references ??????????</b></li> <li>- <b>- the identification of the plant is not at all scientifically correct</b></li> </ul> <p><b>In EXTRACTION PART what do you mean by "The prepared quotation was kept in the database for future pharmacological evaluation".</b> The author must add single photos about his works and experiments not photos joined in one images!!!!!!!!!! Also the titles of the figures and photos!!!!</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Boukerker Hassen
Department, University & Country	Scientific and Technical Research Center on Arid Regions, Algeria