

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_96751
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Rainfall Variability on Maize production in Afgooye District lower Shebelle, Somalia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. In this paper authors study Effects of Rainfall Variability on Maize production in Afgooye District lower Shebelle, Somalia with Rainfall variability and Maize production</p> <p>Yes Author explains entire research work in abstract head. Possible to Include separate review of literature and further research related to the work. Correct. But include more tables and graphs which is used to explain your novelty.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Simply explain your work using methodology in abstract sections. Mentioned "in this paper" 2. Keyword also increase minimum 5. 3. In material and methods sections, include the formulas and mention the equation number. 4. Explain the data collection methods and also data pre-processing. 5. Include the primary and secondary tables. 6. Mention the primary equations and the corresponding review of literature. 7. Include at least 10 records relating to your primary data using table 1. 8. Include graphs relating to your proposed work and the same include the results and discussions sections. 9. Include further research section. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Simple	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Rajesh
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India