

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_98037
Title of the Manuscript:	CdO nanostructures: synthesis, characterization, and photocatalytic degradation of malachite green dye in aqueous media
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is definitely important for scientific community. The synthesis of CdO nanoparticles was proved to successfully degrade the MG dye.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The content of the article is very worthy; however, some writing formats may not fulfil the general rules of scientific writing, or even confusing. Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author is not consistent in abbreviating millilitre, sometimes "ml" sometimes "mL" - Most units should be written separately (with space) from its numeric, such as 50 mL, NOT 50mL - The mathematical symbols should be written as Italic (like in Equation) - The second sentence in Conclusion is grammatically incorrect Cadmium oxide have a significant influence on the purity HAS - The third sentence in Conclusion is UNCLEAR, it is not a complete sentence The nanoparticles prepared using for the photocatalytic degradation of Malachalite green (MG) dye from aqueous solutions 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Mujiburohman
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah, Indonesia