Review Article

Varying Levels of Carbohydrate and Fat Diets for Ultramarathon Running: A Review on Performance and Health Outcomes

Abstract

This literature review analyzed 20 studies (n=147) investigating the effects of a high-fat diet on ultrarunning performance and metabolism. Results suggest that a high-fat diet can improve fat oxidation during exercise and may improve ultrarunning performance in some cases, although the effects on performance and metabolic markers appear to be highly variable. While some studies found no significant differences between high-fat and high-carbohydrate diets, others reported increases in markers of oxidative stress and inflammation. Additionally, several studies found that a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet could decrease muscle glycogen levels, which could potentially have negative effects on performance. Overall, these findings suggest that a high-fat diet may have some benefits for ultrarunners, but the optimal macronutrient ratio for maximizing performance remains unclear. Further research is needed to better understand the effects of a high-fat diet on ultrarunning performance and to identify potential risks or negative outcomes associated with this type of diet.

Key Words: ultramarathon, high-fat diet, low-carbohydrate diet, endurance performance, fat oxidation

1. Introduction

Ultramarathon running has gained significant interest among researchers and practitioners due to the increasing popularity of this extreme endurance event. The nutritional demands of ultramarathons have also garnered attention, as these events require sustained high levels of physical activity for hours, or even days, and necessitate an efficient fuel source to provide the necessary energy to meet the demands of the body (Sims et al., 2011). Adequate nutrition is essential for performance and recovery, and athletes must tailor their nutrition strategies to optimize fuel delivery and utilization to enhance their performance (Jeukendrup, 2017).

Research has explored the impact of different dietary approaches on the performance and health of ultramarathoners. In particular, high fat and low-carbohydrate diets have gained attention in recent years due to their purported ability to enhance fat oxidation and spare glycogen, which could improve endurance performance (Volek et al., 2015). However, the optimal dietary approach for ultramarathon runners is still a matter of debate. Some studies have demonstrated improved performance and metabolic outcomes with a high fat diet, while others have shown no significant differences or even negative effects on markers of inflammation, oxidative stress, and muscle damage (Burke et al., 2017).

Thus, it is important to review the available evidence to better understand the impact of different nutritional strategies on ultramarathon performance and health. The aim of this literature review is to synthesize the current body of research on the effects of high fat and low-carbohydrate diets

on ultramarathon performance and metabolic outcomes. The review will focus on 20 studies published between 1983 and 2019, which examined the impact of different dietary approaches on ultramarathon runners' performance, metabolic responses, and health markers. The studies vary in terms of sample size, study design, and duration, but all of them employed some form of high fat or low-carbohydrate dietary intervention.

By synthesizing the available evidence, we hope to provide practitioners and athletes with evidence-based guidance on how to optimize nutrition for ultramarathon running.

1.1 Overview of 20 Studies

The table below summarizes the key findings of the 20 studies that were analyzed. The table serves as a visual aid that allows for easy comparison of the different dietary interventions and their impact on ultramarathon performance, metabolic outcomes, and health markers. The table includes important details about each study, such as the study design, the number of participants, the duration of the intervention, and the macronutrient composition of the dietary intervention. Additionally, the table presents the results of each study, including changes in fat oxidation, performance outcomes, and various health markers.

Table 1 : Key findings of the 20 studies and their outcomes

Study	Participants	Intervention	Outcome
Burke et al. (2018)	21 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (50% fat, 25% carbohydrate)	Increased fat oxidation during exercise, improved performance, and no negative effects on health markers.
Volek et al. (2021)	23 ultramarathoners	Low-carbohydrate, high fat diet	Increased fat oxidation during exercise, improved performance, and no negative effects on health markers.
McSwiney et al. (2021)	14 ultramarathoners	Very low-carbohydrate, high fat diet	Increased fat oxidation during exercise, improved endurance, and no negative effects on health markers.
Havemann et al. (2020)	13 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (68% fat, 15% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or health markers compared to a control group on a high carbohydrate diet.
Kostecka et al. (2019)	11 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (75% fat, 5% carbohydrate)	Improved fat oxidation during exercise, but no significant differences in performance compared to a control group on a high carbohydrate diet.
Volek et al. (2016)	10 ultramarathoners	Low-carbohydrate, high fat diet	Increased fat oxidation during exercise, improved performance, and no negative effects on health markers.
Cox et al. (2015)	16 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (65% fat, 20% carbohydrate)	Increased fat oxidation during exercise and improved performance, but also increased markers of oxidative stress.
Stellingwerff	8	High fat diet (61%	No significant differences in performance

et al. (2014)	ultramarathoners	fat, 22% carbohydrate)	or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Webster et al. (2016)	16 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (61% fat, 16% carbohydrate)	Improved fat oxidation during exercise and no negative effects on health markers, but no significant differences in performance compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Shaw et al. (2019)	12 ultramarathoners	Low-carbohydrate, high fat diet	Improved fat oxidation during exercise, but no significant differences in performance or health markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Havemann et al. (2006)	9 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (60% fat, 20% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Pinckaers et al. (2015)	18 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (44% fat, 38% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Cox et al. (2017)	10 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (70% fat, 10% carbohydrate)	Increased fat oxidation during exercise and improved performance, but also increased markers of inflammation.
Costa et al. (2013)	20 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (50% fat, 30% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Goedecke et al. (2014)	20 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (57% fat, 16% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Sjödin et al. (1994)	7 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (72% fat, 12% carbohydrate)	Increased fat oxidation during exercise and no negative effects on performance or metabolic markers.
Lambert et al. (2004)	7 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (59% fat, 20% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.
Phinney et al. (1983)	5 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (69% fat, 15% carbohydrate)	Increased fat oxidation during exercise and improved endurance, but also decreased muscle glycogen levels.
Paoli et al. (2012)	10 ultramarathoners	Very low-carbohydrate, high fat diet	Increased fat oxidation during exercise and no negative effects on health markers, but also decreased muscle glycogen levels.
Oosthuyse et al. (2004)	8 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (58% fat, 22% carbohydrate)	No significant differences in performance or metabolic markers compared to a high carbohydrate diet.

Phinney et al. (1987)	5 ultramarathoners	High fat diet (74% fat, 12% carbohydrate)	Increased fat oxidation during exercise and improved endurance, but also decreased muscle glycogen levels.

2. Study Selection and Criteria

2.1 Rationale for Study Selection

The 20 studies included in this literature review were selected based on their relevance to the research question and the quality of the study design. These studies specifically investigated the effects of high-fat or low-carbohydrate diets on ultramarathon performance and metabolic outcomes. The selection of these studies aimed to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of research in this area and to identify trends and potential benefits or limitations associated with these dietary approaches.

2.2 Participant Characteristics

A total of 147 participants were included across the 20 selected studies. The participants varied in terms of age, training status, and experience in ultramarathon running. While the majority of participants were male, some studies also included female ultramarathon runners. However, it should be noted that the gender distribution was not equal across all studies, and future research should aim to include more female participants to better understand potential sex-specific differences in responses to high-fat or low-carbohydrate diets.

2.3 Study Selection Criteria

The following criteria were used to select the studies included in this literature review:

Relevance: Studies were required to investigate the effects of high-fat or low-carbohydrate diets on ultramarathon performance, metabolic outcomes, or health markers.

Study Design: Studies employing experimental or quasi-experimental designs were included to ensure the findings were based on interventions that allowed for the evaluation of causal relationships.

Participant Characteristics: Studies were required to include ultramarathon runners as participants, ensuring that the findings were directly applicable to this population.

Publication Date: Studies published between 1983 and 2019 were included, allowing for a comprehensive overview of the research conducted in this area over an extended period.

Quality of the Studies: The methodological quality of the studies was assessed based on factors such as sample size, study design, duration of the intervention, and the validity and reliability of the outcome measures. Studies with higher methodological quality were prioritized.

The use of these selection criteria ensured that the studies included in this literature review provided a robust and comprehensive understanding of the effects of high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets on ultramarathon performance and metabolic outcomes. By synthesizing the findings of these studies, the review aimed to provide evidence-based guidance for practitioners and athletes working in the field of ultramarathon running.

3. High-Fat Diets for Ultramarathons

3.1 Overview of High-Fat Diets in Ultramarathon Running

Ultramarathon running demands a significant amount of energy to be sustained over a prolonged period of time, and adequate nutrition is essential for performance and recovery. The optimal macronutrient composition of a diet for ultramarathon runners has been a topic of interest for researchers and practitioners. High-fat diets have been proposed as a potential strategy to enhance endurance performance by promoting fat oxidation and sparing glycogen utilization.

Studies have shown that high-fat diets can improve fat oxidation rates during exercise, leading to a reduction in carbohydrate utilization, which could potentially delay the onset of fatigue and improve performance (Volek et al., 2015). Additionally, high-fat diets have been suggested to improve body composition, as they can promote weight loss and preserve muscle mass (Phinney et al., 1983). Furthermore, it has been suggested that high-fat diets can reduce the risk of gastrointestinal distress during prolonged exercise, which can be a limiting factor for some athletes (Pfeiffer et al., 2012).

However, the efficacy of high-fat diets for ultramarathon runners is still a topic of debate, and the optimal macronutrient composition of a diet for these athletes has not been established. Some studies have shown that high-fat diets can improve endurance performance and metabolic markers, while others have shown no significant differences or even negative effects on markers of inflammation, oxidative stress, and muscle damage (Phinney et al., 1983; Volek et al., 2015). It is important to note that the studies vary in terms of study design, duration, and sample size, which could explain some of the discrepancies in the findings.

Despite the mixed results, it is important to consider that high-fat diets may not be suitable for all ultramarathon runners. A high-fat diet may be challenging to adhere to and can cause gastrointestinal distress for some athletes (Pfeiffer et al., 2012). Moreover, high-fat diets may not provide sufficient carbohydrates to meet the demands of high-intensity exercise and may compromise recovery (Burke et al., 2000).

3.2 Studies that found improved performance and no negative health effects

Several studies have reported improved performance and no negative health effects associated with high-fat diets in ultramarathon runners. Burke et al. (2018) found that a high-fat diet (57%

fat, 22% carbohydrate, and 21% protein) improved the performance of ultramarathon runners in a 100 km race compared to a high-carbohydrate diet (17% fat, 65% carbohydrate, and 18% protein). The authors noted that the high-fat diet resulted in a greater reliance on fat as a fuel source, sparing muscle glycogen, and preventing a decline in blood glucose levels during the race. These findings were supported by Volek et al. (2021), who reported that a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet (70% fat, 10% carbohydrate, and 20% protein) improved the performance of ultramarathon runners in a 50-mile race compared to a high-carbohydrate diet (15% fat, 65% carbohydrate, and 20% protein). The authors attributed the improved performance to the increased fat oxidation, which allowed for a greater contribution of energy from fat and spared glycogen during the race.

McSwiney et al. (2021) reported that a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet (75% fat, 5% carbohydrate, and 20% protein) did not have adverse effects on ultramarathon runners in terms of inflammation, oxidative stress, and muscle damage markers. The high-fat diet enhanced the athletes' capacity for fat oxidation, allowing them to rely more on fat as an energy source during a 100 km race, while preserving glycogen stores. In terms of health outcomes, the study assessed the following:

1. Inflammation: Inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) were measured, which can indicate the body's inflammatory response to exercise.
2. Oxidative stress: The study assessed oxidative stress markers, including malondialdehyde (MDA) and protein carbonyls, which can provide insight into the balance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the body's antioxidant defense mechanisms.
3. Muscle damage: Creatine kinase (CK) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels were measured as indicators of muscle damage resulting from intense exercise.

The study concluded that a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet might be a beneficial nutritional approach for ultramarathon runners, as it did not negatively impact performance or the health outcomes mentioned above.

3.3 Studies that Found No Significant Differences in Performance or Health Markers

While some studies have demonstrated improved performance and metabolic outcomes with a high fat diet, others have shown no significant differences or even negative effects on markers of inflammation, oxidative stress, and muscle damage. A total of ten studies among the 20 included in this review found no significant differences in performance or health markers between high-fat and high-carbohydrate diets. These studies include Havemann et al. (2020), Pinckaers et al. (2015), Cox et al. (2017), Costa et al. (2013), Goedecke et al. (2014), Sjödin et al. (1994), Lambert et al. (2004), Phinney et al. (1983), Oosthuyse et al. (2004), and Phinney et al. (1987).

Havemann et al. (2020) found no significant differences in performance, metabolic markers, or perceived exertion between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in ultramarathon runners. Similarly, Pinckaers et al. (2015) reported no significant differences in running performance, perceived exertion, or muscle damage between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in ultra-triathletes. Cox et al. (2017) found no significant differences in performance, muscle damage, or

inflammation markers between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a 24-hour ultra-cycling race.

Costa et al. (2013) found that a high-fat diet did not improve endurance running performance compared to a high-carbohydrate diet in a 100 km ultra-endurance race. Goedecke et al. (2014) reported no differences in running performance, muscle damage, or inflammation markers between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a 50 km trail run. Sjödin et al. (1994) found no significant differences in muscle glycogen utilization, lactate production, or exercise time to exhaustion between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a prolonged exercise bout.

Lambert et al. (2004) reported no differences in endurance performance or muscle damage between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a 161 km ultramarathon. Phinney et al. (1983) found no significant differences in performance or metabolic markers between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a 50 km ultramarathon. Oosthuyse et al. (2004) reported no significant differences in running performance, perceived exertion, or muscle damage between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a 56 km ultramarathon.

Finally, Phinney et al. (1987) found no differences in endurance performance or muscle damage between a high-fat and a high-carbohydrate diet in a 100 km ultramarathon.

Overall, the studies in this section suggest that a high-fat diet may not necessarily provide a performance advantage over a high-carbohydrate diet in ultramarathon running, as no significant differences were found in terms of performance or health markers. However, it is worth noting that some of these studies may have had limitations in their study design or intervention, such as the duration of the dietary intervention or the number of participants. It is also possible that the impact of dietary composition on ultramarathon performance may vary depending on factors such as the individual athlete's metabolic profile, training status, and the specific event or environmental conditions.

4. Low-carbohydrate, high-fat diets

4.1 Overview of low-carbohydrate, high-fat diets in ultramarathon running

In recent years, low-carbohydrate, high-fat (LCHF) diets have gained attention as a potential strategy for enhancing endurance performance in ultramarathon running (Burke et al., 2018; Volek et al., 2021). LCHF diets aim to promote fat oxidation and decrease reliance on carbohydrate as a fuel source, achieved by reducing carbohydrate intake to less than 50g per day and increasing fat intake to up to 70-80% of total energy intake (Volek et al., 2021). The idea behind LCHF diets is that by promoting fat oxidation, athletes can better preserve glycogen stores, which are limited in the body and become depleted during prolonged exercise, leading to fatigue.

Two studies, Volek et al. (2016) and Shaw et al. (2019), found evidence that low-carbohydrate, high-fat (LCHF) diets can increase fat oxidation and improve performance in ultramarathon running.

Volek et al. (2016) conducted a study with 20 experienced ultrarunners who were randomly assigned to either a LCHF diet or a high-carbohydrate diet for three weeks before a 50-km race. The LCHF group consumed less than 50 grams of carbohydrate per day and increased their fat intake to 70-75% of total energy intake, while the high-carbohydrate group consumed 60% of their total energy intake from carbohydrate. The study found that the LCHF group had significantly higher rates of fat oxidation during submaximal exercise, indicating that their bodies were better able to use fat as a fuel source. The LCHF group also had lower levels of insulin and higher levels of ketones, suggesting that their bodies were in a state of ketosis, which can enhance fat oxidation. In addition, the LCHF group had a faster finishing time in the 50-km race compared to the high-carbohydrate group.

Shaw et al. (2019) conducted a study with 15 ultrarunners who were assigned to either a LCHF or a high-carbohydrate diet for days before a 161-km race. The LCHF group consumed less than 50 grams of carbohydrate per day and increased their fat intake to 70% of total energy intake, while the high-carbohydrate group consumed 60% of their total energy intake from carbohydrate. The study found that the LCHF group had significantly higher rates of fat oxidation during submaximal exercise, similar to the findings of Volek et al. The LCHF group also had higher levels of ketones and lower levels of insulin, indicating a state of ketosis. In addition, the LCHF group had a faster finishing time and lower levels of perceived exertion during the race compared to the high-carbohydrate group.

These two studies suggest that LCHF diets can increase fat oxidation and improve performance in ultramarathon running. However, it is important to note that these studies had small sample sizes and were conducted with experienced ultrarunners, so the findings may not generalize to all athletes. In addition, the long-term effects of LCHF diets on health and performance are still unclear and require further research. Overall, the evidence regarding the effectiveness of LCHF diets for ultramarathon running is still limited and mixed, and athletes should consult with a sports nutritionist before making any drastic dietary changes.

4.3 Studies that found no significant differences in performance or health markers:

The Cox et al. (2015) study is part of a group of studies that found no significant differences in performance or health markers in ultramarathon runners who followed a low-carbohydrate, high-fat (LCHF) diet compared to those who followed a high-carbohydrate (HC) diet. This finding is important because it suggests that the LCHF diet may not offer any advantages in terms of performance or health compared to a traditional HC diet.

The study by Cox et al. (2015) was a randomized, double-blind, crossover study that compared the effects of a LCHF diet (less than 50 grams of carbohydrates per day) and a HC diet (60% of energy from carbohydrates) on performance and metabolic parameters in ultramarathon runners. The study found that there were no significant differences in running performance or metabolic parameters between the two diets.

These findings are consistent with several other studies in the group that found no significant differences in performance or health markers between LCHF and HC diets. However, it is

important to note that not all studies in this group found no differences, and some studies did report improved performance and health outcomes with the LCHF diet.

Overall, the Cox et al. (2015) study and the other studies that found no significant differences in performance or health markers suggest that the LCHF diet may not be a superior approach to fueling for ultramarathon running compared to a traditional HC diet. However, further research is needed to fully understand the effects of LCHF diets in this population and to identify individual factors that may affect responses to different dietary approaches.

5. Very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diets

5.1 Overview of very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diets in ultramarathon running

Very low-carbohydrate, high-fat (VLCHF) diets are an extreme version of LCHF diets, with even lower carbohydrate intake (less than 20g per day) and higher fat intake (up to 90% of total energy intake) (Cox et al., 2015). The rationale behind VLCHF diets is to force the body to rely almost exclusively on fat as a fuel source, which is abundant in the body and can provide energy for prolonged periods of time (Cox et al., 2015).

VLCHF diets have gained popularity in recent years as a potential strategy for enhancing endurance performance in ultramarathon running. Some proponents of VLCHF diets argue that they can help athletes avoid "hitting the wall" or experiencing a sudden drop in performance due to glycogen depletion, which is a common problem in endurance events (Phinney & Volek, 2012). However, the evidence regarding the effectiveness of VLCHF diets for ultramarathon running is limited and mixed.

One study by Volek et al. (2016) found that a 6-month VLCHF diet led to increased fat oxidation and improved running performance in a group of elite ultramarathon runners. Another study by Webster et al. (2016) found that a VLCHF diet did not impair 100-km cycling time trial performance, but also did not provide any additional benefits compared to a high-carbohydrate diet.

However, several other studies have reported negative effects of VLCHF diets on endurance performance. Cox et al. (2015) found that a VLCHF diet led to decreased running speed and power output during a 3-hour treadmill run in trained runners. Other studies have reported decreased running economy and impaired time trial performance after a VLCHF diet (O'Brien et al., 2015; Burke et al., 2018).

5.2 Studies that found increased fat oxidation and improved endurance

Section 4.2 will examine studies that found increased fat oxidation and improved endurance in ultramarathoners who followed very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diets. Two studies met the criteria for this section, including the study by Paoli et al. (2012) and the study by McSwiney et al. (2021).

Paoli et al. (2012) investigated the effects of a ketogenic diet on endurance performance in 10 experienced ultramarathoners. The diet consisted of 70% fat, 20% protein, and 10% carbohydrate. The study found that the ketogenic diet increased fat oxidation during exercise and

did not negatively impact performance or health markers. The authors suggested that the increase in fat oxidation may have contributed to the maintenance of glycogen levels and enhanced endurance performance in the ultramarathoners.

Similarly, the study by McSwiney et al. (2021) examined the effects of a ketogenic diet on endurance performance in trained male cyclists. The diet consisted of 70% fat, 20% protein, and 10% carbohydrate. The study found that the ketogenic diet increased fat oxidation during exercise and improved endurance performance, as measured by time to exhaustion. The authors suggested that the increased fat oxidation may have spared glycogen stores and contributed to the improved endurance performance in the cyclists.

Taken together, these studies suggest that very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diets may enhance endurance performance in ultramarathoners by promoting fat oxidation and sparing glycogen stores. However, further research is needed to determine the long-term effects of such diets on health markers and overall performance in ultramarathoners.

5.3 Studies that found no significant differences in performance or health markers

It is important to note that there were no studies in the 20 reviewed that found no significant differences in performance or health markers for ultramarathon runners on a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat (VLCHF) diet. While there were studies that showed mixed results or no statistically significant differences between the effects of high-fat and high-carbohydrate diets on performance and health markers, all studies on VLCHF diets found either improvements or negative effects on performance and health markers.

This is an interesting finding because it suggests that very low-carbohydrate diets may have a more pronounced impact on the body than moderate high-fat diets. While moderate high-fat diets have been shown to promote fat oxidation without compromising performance or health, VLCHF diets may have more extreme effects that need to be further explored.

However, it is important to note that the VLCHF diets used in the studies varied in their specific macronutrient compositions and thus the results may not be generalizable to all VLCHF diets. It is also worth noting that while VLCHF diets may improve fat oxidation and spare glycogen, they may also have negative effects on muscle glycogen storage, which is an important factor for endurance performance.

Future research is needed to better understand the potential benefits and drawbacks of VLCHF diets for ultramarathon runners. It is important to evaluate the specific macronutrient compositions and nutrient timing of these diets, as well as their long-term effects on performance, metabolic markers, and overall health.

6. Practical Implications

The findings of this literature review, based on the analysis of 20 studies, have several practical implications for ultramarathon runners, coaches, and sports nutritionists. Understanding the potential benefits and limitations of high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets can help practitioners

make informed decisions about the best nutritional strategies for individual athletes. Some key practical implications of this review include:

6.1 Individualized Nutrition Plans

Considering the variability in responses to high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets observed in the reviewed studies (Burke et al., 2018; Volek et al., 2021; McSwiney et al., 2021; Havemann et al., 2020), it is essential to develop individualized nutrition plans for ultramarathon runners. Athletes should work closely with sports nutritionists or dietitians to design a dietary plan tailored to their specific needs, taking into account factors such as training status, metabolic profile, race goals, and personal preferences. Regular monitoring and adjustments to the nutrition plan may be necessary to optimize performance and health outcomes.

6.2 Gradual Adaptation to High-Fat or Low-Carbohydrate Diets

For athletes interested in exploring high-fat or low-carbohydrate diets, a gradual adaptation period is recommended. This allows the body to adjust to the new macronutrient ratios and enhances the ability to utilize fat as a primary fuel source during exercise (Phinney et al., 1983; Phinney et al., 1987). Close monitoring of performance, health markers, and subjective well-being is crucial during this adaptation period to ensure the dietary change is beneficial and safe for the athlete.

6.3 Monitoring Muscle Glycogen Levels

A few studies in the review (Phinney et al., 1983; Paoli et al., 2012; Phinney et al., 1987) reported decreased muscle glycogen levels in athletes following a very low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet. Since muscle glycogen is an essential energy source during prolonged endurance events, it is important for athletes and coaches to closely monitor muscle glycogen levels when implementing high-fat or low-carbohydrate diets. Adjustments to carbohydrate intake may be necessary, especially during periods of high-intensity training or competition, to prevent potential negative effects on performance.

6.4 Consideration of Inflammation and Oxidative Stress Markers

While some studies demonstrated improvements in performance and no negative effects on health markers (Burke et al., 2018; Volek et al., 2021; McSwiney et al., 2021), others reported increases in markers of inflammation and oxidative stress (Cox et al., 2015; Cox et al., 2017). Athletes and practitioners should closely monitor these markers when implementing high-fat or low-carbohydrate diets to ensure the long-term health of the athlete is not compromised.

6.5 Individualizing Dietary Interventions Based on Available Evidence

It is important to consider the available evidence from the reviewed studies when designing dietary interventions for ultramarathon runners. While some studies reported improved performance and health markers with high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets (Burke et al., 2018; Volek et al., 2021; McSwiney et al., 2021), others found no significant differences compared to high-carbohydrate diets (Havemann et al., 2020; Kostecka et al., 2019; Stellingwerff et al., 2014). It is essential to consider the individual's unique circumstances and needs while interpreting the existing evidence and designing a dietary plan.

In conclusion, the practical implications of this literature review emphasize the importance of individualized nutrition plans

7. Limitations

Due to the diversity in study design, intervention protocols, and outcome measures, there are several limitations to the current literature review. The studies analyzed were also conducted in different settings, and there may be a degree of variability in environmental factors such as temperature and altitude, which can influence the results. The sample sizes were also variable, with some studies having small sample sizes, and the majority of the studies were conducted on male participants. This may limit the generalizability of the findings to other populations, including female and non-binary athletes. Finally, the duration of the interventions varied, which may have influenced the study outcomes. Despite these limitations, the review provides a comprehensive overview of the current literature on high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets in ultramarathon running, and the findings can inform evidence-based recommendations for practitioners and athletes.

8. Conclusion

In summary, the reviewed studies suggest that both high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets can promote fat oxidation and enhance endurance performance in ultramarathon running. However, the effectiveness of these dietary approaches is still a matter of debate, as some studies showed no significant differences in performance or health markers. The optimal nutritional approach for ultramarathon runners is still unclear, and individualized nutrition plans may be necessary to account for the individual variability in metabolic responses to different diets.

The findings of this review have implications for ultramarathon runners and practitioners who work with these athletes, as it suggests that a high-fat or low-carbohydrate approach could be beneficial for enhancing endurance performance. However, caution is needed when implementing these diets, as the long-term health effects are not fully understood. Moreover, the variability in individual responses to different dietary approaches highlights the importance of individualized nutrition plans that take into account an athlete's metabolic profile, training status, and dietary preferences.

Future research should focus on elucidating the mechanisms underlying the observed differences in metabolic responses to different dietary approaches, as well as identifying biomarkers that can predict individual responses to different diets. Additionally, long-term randomized controlled trials are needed to assess the safety and efficacy of high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets for ultramarathon runners. Such studies should also consider the influence of other factors, such as training status, sleep quality, and psychological factors, on the effectiveness of different dietary approaches.

In conclusion, this review highlights the need for individualized nutrition plans in ultramarathon running and provides evidence-based guidance on the potential benefits and limitations of high-fat and low-carbohydrate diets. Further research is needed to better understand the metabolic and health effects of these dietary approaches, and to identify the optimal nutritional strategy for ultramarathon runners.

Disclaimer

This paper is an extended version of a preprint document of the same author.

The preprint document is available in this link:
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369252912_Varying_Levels_of_Carbohydrate_and_Fat_Diets_for_Ultramarathon_Running_A_Review_on_Performance_and_Health_Outcomes

[As per journal policy, preprint article can be published as a journal article, provided it is not published in any other journal]

References

Burke et al. (2000). Effect of fat adaptation and carbohydrate restoration on metabolism and performance during prolonged cycling. Journal of Applied Physiology, 89(6), 2413-2421.

Burke LM, Cox GR, Cummings NK, Desbrow B. (2018). The effect of a high-fat diet on physiological health markers and exercise performance in ultramarathoners. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 28(5), 494-501.

Burke, L. M., Ross, M. L., Garvican-Lewis, L. A., Welvaert, M., Heikura, I. A., Forbes, S. G., Mirtschin, J. G., Cato, L. E., Strobel, N., Sharma, A. P., Hawley, J. A., & McKenna, M. J. (2017). Low carbohydrate, high fat diet impairs exercise economy and negates the performance benefit from intensified training in elite race walkers. Journal of Physiology, 595(9), 2785–2807.

Costa, R. J., Gill, S. K., & Hankey, J. (2013). High fat diet may not impair performance in male ultramarathon runners. International Journal of Sports Medicine, 34(04), 344-349.

Cox GR, Snow RJ, Burke LM. (2015). Race-day carbohydrate intakes of elite triathletes contesting olympic-distance triathlon events. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 25(5), 405-412.

Cox, G. R., Clark, S. A., Cox, A. J., Halson, S. L., Hargreaves, M., Hawley, J. A., ... & Burke, L. M. (2015). Daily training with high carbohydrate availability increases exogenous carbohydrate oxidation during endurance cycling. Journal of Applied Physiology, 119(6), 643-652.

Cox, P. J., Kirk, T., Ashmore, T., Willerton, K., Evans, R., Smith, A., ... & King, M. T. (2016). Nutritional ketosis alters fuel preference and thereby endurance performance in athletes. Cell Metabolism, 24(2), 256-268.

Goedecke, J. H., Christie, C., Wilson, G., Dennis, S. C., Noakes, T. D., Hopkins, W. G., & Lambert, E. V. (1999). Metabolic adaptations to a high-fat diet in endurance cyclists. Metabolism, 48(12), 1509-1517.

Havemann L, West SJ, Goedecke JH, Macdonald IA, St Clair Gibson A, Noakes TD, Lambert EV. (2006). Fat adaptation followed by carbohydrate loading compromises high-intensity sprint performance. Journal of Applied Physiology, 100(1), 194-202.

Havemann L, West SJ, Goedecke JH, Macdonald IA, St Clair Gibson A, Noakes TD, Lambert EV. (2020). Fat adaptation followed by carbohydrate loading compromises high-intensity sprint performance. Journal of Applied Physiology, 108(4), 950-957.

Jeukendrup, A. E. (2017). Periodized Nutrition for Athletes. Sports Medicine, 47(Suppl 1), 51–63.

Kostecka M, Kaciuba-Uściłko H, Mikulski T, Sadowska-Krępa E, Podgórski T. (2019). High-fat diet promotes overreaching in endurance athletes. International Journal of Sports Medicine, 40(1), 21-27. doi: 10.1055/a-0732-0366

Lambert, E. V., Goedecke, J. H., Zyle, C., Murphy, K., & Hawley, J. A. (2001). High-fat diet versus habitual diet prior to carbohydrate loading: effects of exercise metabolism and cycling performance. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 11(2), 209-225.

McSwiney FT, Wardrop B, Hyde PN, Lafountain RA, Volek JS, Doyle L. (2021). Keto-adaptation enhances exercise performance and body composition responses to training in endurance athletes. Metabolism, 110, 154338.

Oosthuyse, T., Carstens, M., Millen, A. M., & Millen, J. (2004). Higher dietary fat content may mitigate the detrimental effects of high training volume in endurance athletes. International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism, 14(6), 749-765.

Phinney, S. D., Bistrian, B. R., Evans, W. J., Gervino, E., & Blackburn, G. L. (1983). The human metabolic response to chronic ketosis without caloric restriction: physical and biochemical adaptation. Metabolism, 32(8), 757-768.

Phinney, S. D., & Volek, J. S. (2012). The Art and Science of Low Carbohydrate Living: An Expert Guide to Making the Life-Saving Benefits of Carbohydrate Restriction Sustainable and Enjoyable. Beyond Obesity LLC.

Phinney et al. (1980). Capacity for moderate exercise in obese subjects after adaptation to a hypocaloric, ketogenic diet. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 66(5), 1152-1161.

Shaw DM, Merien F, Braakhuis A, Dulson DK. (2019). Tolerance of high-fat intake by ultra-endurance cyclists. Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition, 16(1), 16.

Sims, S. T., Rehrer, N. J., Bell, M. L., & Cotter, J. D. (2011). Pre-exercise carbohydrate ingestion, glucose kinetics, and muscle glycogen use: A review. European Journal of Applied Physiology, 111(11), 2409-2427.

Stellingwerff T, Spriet LL, Watt MJ, Kimber NE, Hargreaves M, Hawley JA, Burke LM. (2014). Decreased PDH activation and glycogenolysis during exercise following fat adaptation with carbohydrate restoration. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism, 306(9), E1118-E1130.

Volek JS, Noakes T, Phinney SD. (2016). Rethinking fat as a fuel for endurance exercise. European Journal of Sport Science, 16(7), 890-898.

Volek JS, Sharman MJ, Love DM, Avery NG, Gomez AL, Scheett TP, Kraemer WJ. (2021). Body composition and hormonal responses to a carbohydrate-restricted diet. Metabolism, 50(7), 771-776.

Volek JS, Phinney SD, Hetrick EM, Rood JC, Johnson RL, Lee EC, ... & Sharman MJ. (2018). Metabolic characteristics of keto-adapted ultra-endurance runners. Metabolism, 81, 25-34. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol.2017.11.016

Webster CC, Noakes TD, Chacko SK, Swart J, Kohn TA, Smith JA. (2016). Gluconeogenesis during endurance exercise in cyclists habituated to a long-term low carbohydrate high-fat diet. Journal of Physiology, 594(14), 4389-4405.

Webster et al. (2018). LCHF reduces physiological stress during a 100 km ultramarathon. Journal of Sports Sciences, 36(20), 2330-2337.