

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_97272
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Seasonal Variation of Volume of Vehicle Wash Waste water in the Shrigonda Town
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes.The manuscript is important for scientific community as it tries to address water pollution. No. Seasonal variation of volume of fresh water utilised and wastewater generated by motor washing center in Shrigonda Town, India. Fair Appropriate Okay References are recent but not sufficient. Google scholar can help.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Construction of expressions needs to be improved	
Optional/General comments	1.Period of 1 week in each season to measure the volume of fresh & wastewater was too short for meaningful generalisation. Rather, 1 week in every month of each season might suffice. 2. Volumes of wastewater generated from washing centres were not determined & not properly addressed 3. Consistence with dry& wet seasons , not interchanging summer 4.ANOVA should not be a keyword in this article, just a statistical tool 5.t-test should be used for seasonal significance in each washing centre & ANOVA for all washing centres within & between seasons 6. The essence of methods of washing & types of vehicles were not discussed 7.Reference No 6 should conform to the format used for others.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akintunde Daniel Gbadesere
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Education, Nigeria