

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_97608
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PT/INR AND APTT IN PATIENTS ON WARFARIN: A STUDY AT A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. This manuscript has scientific theoretical and practical interest. The results of the authors research can be used in the search for new substances with biological activity in order to create new drugs. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The whole article should be check for grammar and punctuation	
Optional/General comments	This article has scientific theoretical and practical interest and can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olha Shapoval
Department, University & Country	Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine