

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_96270
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological and physicochemical evaluation of pineapple fruit and its derivatives
Type of the Article	Research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is no significant urgency since the author only showing the data based on the product state (whole fruit and juice).</li> <li>2. Yes. Better to mention the samples sources (area) if you have divide/cluster the data based on the region, also if possible identify the difference of each region/cluster</li> <li>3. Not clear enough. Please check the comments in the manuscript.</li> <li>4. Yes</li> <li>5. Yes</li> <li>6. Need some addition of the appropriate references</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Generally it is fine but please change some French words into English, especially in the figures	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Data presentation is not interesting enough because only based on the products (fruit and juice) I think it will be better if compare the data based on each region and its condition that affecting the properties	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nur Istianah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia</b>