

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_96270
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological and physicochemical evaluation of pineapple fruit and its derivatives
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper is well-written, contains clear and relevant information regarding the pesticide residues, the physicochemical and nutritional parameters of pineapple juice and pineapple derivatives in Benin. The introduction is brief and provides sufficient information on the importance of choosing the topic of this scientific paper. The methods are generally appropriate. This work presents interesting results that are clear and compelling; the authors making an important contribution to the research literature in this area of investigation.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">yes</p> <p style="text-align: center;">yes Could be improved</p> <p style="text-align: center;">yes</p> <p>The References section must be changed, because the titles are not in alphabetical order and not numbered.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>In the manuscript, I made some suggestions with track changes. Please revise and modify according with my suggestions. The References section must be changed, because the titles are not in alphabetical order and not numbered.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Negrea Monica
Department, University & Country	Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania