

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_83692
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Extreme Precipitation over Northern and Southern Nigeria using CMIP6 Models
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper, focused on the study of the performance of 13 climate models is quite specific and in the meantime useful for a lots of experts in the field, the argument involves a very big area of climate change researchers.</p> <p>I suggest to read again the paper and:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•remove some english misprints;</li> <li>•pg.2 line 21, if the authors agree, I suggest to write "Ajayi and Ilori, 2020, Duro et al. 2014, Cuspilici et al, 2015", where: [X] A. Duro, V. Piccione, M.A. Ragusa, V. Veneziano, New Enviromentally Sensitive Patch Index - ESPI - for MEDALUS protocol, AIP Conference Proceedings vol.1637, 305--312, (2014); [Y] A. Cuspilici, P. Monforte, M.A. Ragusa, Study of Saharan dust influence on PM10 measures in Sicily from 2013 to 2015, Ecological Indicators 76, 297--303, (2017),</li> <li>•put the references of the bibliography the same characters, but, of course, these are not decisive for an acceptance.</li> </ul> <p>We appreciate your scientific efforts represented by this work, and inform you that we can consider this work to be of sufficient interest to our readership to warrant publication.</p> <p>I hope the outcome of this specific submission will not discourage you from submission of future manuscripts.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maria Alessandra Ragusa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Catania, Italy</b>