

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_95403</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of application methods and doses of micronutrients on wheat' grain yield, nutrient content and upatake</b>
Type of the Article	Experimental article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Certainly, important research that is the basis of biofortification and nutrition systems with high levels of nutrient efficiency.  There is a misprint in the last word of the article title.  TITLE: Impact of application methods and doses of micronutrients on nutrient content in grain and wheat yield. First there is up(in)take, then we measure accumulation (result). Both uptake and accumulation are mentioned in the title, but only accumulation is discussed in the text of the article. The most valuable crop in the world is corn, followed by wheat. Sampling and analysis: cite methodologies and specify equipment. Timing of treatments indicate for BBCH phases. The 12 experimental tables were not even 2 pages of discussion text. Most of the interesting data are not mentioned. In the list of references of 25 citations only two scientific papers not older than 3 years.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor errors, the text can be edited better.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Article needs improvement.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Victor Schwartau</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Plant Physiology and Genetics, Ukraine</b>