

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90353
Title of the Manuscript:	FTC-based forage demonstration of elephant grass technology in central highlands of Ethiopia: Evidence from Ginchi, Wonchi and Debrelibanose district
Type of the Article	Original research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary            The abstract is adequate, it represents the paper in its parts. Some proofreading is needed as suggested.            The introduction and background are appropriately clear and streamlined. Used relevant references and updated to support the subject. However, there are in-text citations that are not mentioned in the reference list. The numbering will change!!            Add how this paper is divided!!!            The methodology representation is clear and thorough. It includes the different parts of the process and does clearly explain the work intended. More suggestions are recommended especially reference problems [see attached corrected Manuscript].            Results are clearly represented in Tables and are sufficient to support the discussion.            Yield Adv. % formula must be rewritten for clarity....            The discussion is adequate, clear, and streamlined. However, it needs to be validated with relevant citations. However, this section needs attention to proofreading and editing [Many suggestions are added as shown in the attached Corrected Manuscript].            The conclusion is clear.            Are there any limitations to the research???</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Needs review of the write up write-upences for consistency and to match the Journal's requirements Proofreading is needed	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon