

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_97750
Title of the Manuscript:	Tyrosinase Inhibitory Activity of Combined Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze and oryza Sativa (L.) Extract Incorporated Serum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript proposed to me for revision presents the data of a study of the tyrosinase inhibition efficacy of a combined extract of Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze (green tea) and Oryza sativa (rice bran). The authors found that combining both extracts in an exact ratio achieved the highest percentage of tyrosinase inhibitory activity. They suggest that catechins in green tea extract protecting against lipid oxidation of fatty acids of rice bran oil might play a key role in enhancing tyrosinase inhibitory activity. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. The title of the article is suitable. The article's abstract is comprehensive and presents the methodology, results, and conclusion. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient and recent. The article is suitable for publication after a grammar and punctuation check.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article's English punctuation and grammar quality need improvement for scholarly communication. I offer suggestions in the attached file.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christina Voycheva
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria