

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_SAJRM_96044  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Study of Aflatoxin Content and Bacteriological Assay of Garri Collected From Ado-Ekiti in Ekiti State |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Of course, since it affects an entire community, it is a public health problem that must be treated from that point of view, because the study is an example of what is happening in other countries, as well as in other regions of the world.<br><br>Yes, it is. It's<br><br>I think that the introduction and the methodology could be explained a little more.<br><br>Yes<br><br>I think that all research is very important due to the time that is dedicated to it and even more so when it makes us realize that even in a world with so much technology there are still inequities to obtain access to it and make use of it.<br><br>Well they are within the range of what is allowed. |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION comments</b><br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | Yes, of course.   |   |
| <b>Optional/General comments</b>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Carla Andrea Arias Altamirano                    |
| Department, University & Country | Hospital Gineco Obstétrico Isidro Ayora, Ecuador |