

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_97339
Title of the Manuscript:	A survey of the prevalence of intestinal parasites among school-aged children: a case study of Nnarambia, Ahiazu Mbaise Local Government Area of Imo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The author should compare similar surveys or studies in other areas and countries.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes. Please note that the conclusion is NOT the answer to the study's objective. It is more like a suggestion.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>The discussion should focus on the comparative background of the two groups of the study, namely the infected and the uninfected groups.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is rather hard to read the manuscript. Please provide graphical abstract and/or relevant figure, like the relationship between STH and other issues, such as economic background, stunting, and sanitation facilities. Please provide a list of abbreviations Please provide a map of the location, Please provide photos of the worm from the study (if applicable)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kris Herawan Timotius
Department, University & Country	Krida Wacana Christian University, Indonesia