

## Original Research Article

### **Candida bloodstream infection and antifungal susceptibility over three years in a single center from Medinah, Saudi Arabia**

#### **Abstract**

In the healthcare setting, *Candida* bloodstream infections significantly increase morbidity and mortality. There is little proof that invasive infections in Saudi Arabia are brought on by *Candida* spp. To identify *Candida* species that cause bloodstream infections and ascertain the clinical outcome and risk factors for mortality in a Saudi Arabian tertiary hospital. This retrospective analysis covered all instances in which patients hospitalized to Ohud hospital, a tertiary care facility in Madinah, Saudi Arabia, between January 2019 and December 2021, had positive blood cultures for *Candida*. Routinely, collected two blood culture anaerobic and aerobic Bactec bottles were inoculated with blood samples, and they and ted at 35°C for five days. Identification-YST card kits from VITEK II (BioMérieuxBiomerieux, France) for yeast and yeast-like organisms. Testing for antifungal susceptibility was done using AST YS07. A total of 78 patients (71% men, 29% women) were found to have candidemia. *Candida albicans* (51.3%), *Candida parapsilosis* (16.7%), and *Candida tropicalis* (16.7%) were the three *Candida* spp. that were most frequently isolated. Those with Saudi (51%; P = 0.500), leukopenia (40%; P = 0.001), neutrophilia (92%; P = 0.638), and thrombocytopenia (42%; P = 0.374) had a higher incidence of candidemia. Fluconazole sensitivity in *non-albicans* ranged from 39.5%. Nonetheless, caspofungin was effective against all species. This study discovered an

epidemiological shift toward more non-albicans *Candida* spp. in Saudi Arabia as well as a changing pattern in the *Candida* spp. causing bloodstream infections.

**Keywords:**

Candidemia, *Candida albicans*, *Candida non-albicans*, Antifungal, and Echinocandins.

**1. Introduction**

Although *Candida* spp. is a normal component of human skin and mucosa, reports of it as a pathogen have increased as a result of risk factors like excessive use of a wide range of antibiotics, prolonged hospital stays, organ transplantation, HIV infection, underlying malignant diseases, and exposure to invasive procedures [1, 2] (~~Messer et al., 2006 and Richardson et al., 2008~~).

One of the pillars of goal-directed sepsis management is early infection control with the right administration of antibiotics, as the survival rate of untreated sepsis patients drops by the hour [3] (~~Wisplinghoff et al., 2004~~).

Bloodstream infections (BSIs) and disseminated candidiasis are just two of the many illnesses that *Candida* spp. can cause. Despite improvements in the identification and management of candidiasis, *Candida* ranks fourth in the United States and seventh in Europe among the infections responsible for BSI [3, 4] (~~Wisplinghoff et al., 2004 and Sievert et al., 2013~~). Only a few studies from India have documented candidemia rates (6–18%) and an increase in the isolation of *non-albicans Canddia* from BSIs. [5, 6, 7, 8] (~~Magill et al., 2006; Kothari and Sagar., 2009~~) (~~Shivaprakasha et al., 2007 and Chakrabarti et al., 2009~~).

A recent study found that 7.5% of ICU patients taking antifungal medication also had a candidemia incidence rate of 6.9 per 1000 patients in the critical care unit (ICU) [9, 10] (~~Kett et al., 2011 and Azoulay et al., 2012~~). Nosocomial candidiasis is linked to a crude mortality rate of over 60%, while the attributable mortality rate may be as high as 49% [11, 12]. (~~Lark et al., 2000 and Gudlaugsson et al., 2003~~). Despite these findings, there are still many unresolved issues surrounding the treatment of candidiasis.

Azole, allylamine, polyene, and echinocandin are the only antifungal medications approved to treat systemic and invasive candidiasis. Healthcare providers should be extremely concerned about the dramatic rise in *Candida* spp. resistance to antifungal treatment over the past few decades. Clinical management solutions for this issue can be determined with the use of research on infection prevalence and antifungal susceptibility testing. Our goals were to identify the *Candida* spp. that cause bloodstream infections and to look into the antifungal susceptibility patterns of these species.

## 2 . Materials and methods

### 2.1 Patients

This retrospective, observational study was carried out from January 2019 to December 2021 in the Ohud hospital's microbiology lab in Madinah, Saudi Arabia. Prior to the study, permission from the ethics committee was obtained (IRB 22-070). Only 78 *Candida* spp. were recovered from blood cultures out of a total of 1256 positive blood cultures. Hospitalized patients with a fever (>37°C), a respiratory rate (>20 breaths/minute), and a white blood count

(WBC) of more than 12,000/ $\text{mm}^3$  or less than 4000/ $\text{mm}^3$  were all required for the study. Patient demographic data, such as age, nationality, and gender.

## 2.2 Sample Collection

### 2.2.1 Isolation and identification of *Candida*

The automated blood culture system Bactec FX (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) was used to collect clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. from blood cultures. Routinely, collected two blood culture anaerobic and aerobic Bactec bottles were inoculated with blood samples, and they were then incubated at 35°C for five days. Gram-stained bottles were examined, and afterward, subcultures were created. Yeast were used to identify wet preparation from subculture [5](Magill et al., 2006).

### 2.2.2 Antifungal susceptibility testing

Identification-YST card kits from VITEK II (Biomérieux, France) for yeast and yeast-like organisms. Testing for antifungal susceptibility was done on VITEK II using AST YS07 Kits. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute approach was used to establish minimum inhibitory concentrations and resistance rates. Control organisms included *C. albicans* (ATCC 1023), *C. tropicalis* (ATCC 13803), and *C. glabrata* (ATCC 2001). Guidelines from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) were used to interpret the data [13](Al-Jasser and Elkhizzi, 2004).

## 2.3 Data analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out using the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) version 24.0 software from SPSS Inc. in Chicago, Illinois, USA. All nominal variables, including demographic information, were calculated as frequencies and percentages. We used the Chi-square test  $\chi^2$  and

Fisher's exact test to compare the *C. albicans* and *Candida non-albicans* groups on all nominal variables. Results with a p-value less than 0.05 ( $P < 0.05$ ) will be considered significant.

### 3. Results

Ages of the patients ranged from one year to 72 years. both cases *Candida albicans* and *non-albicans* patients older than 60 had the highest frequency of *Candida*-positive cultures in both the *C. albicans* and *non-albicans* groups, followed by patients between the ages of 41 and 50 and those aged 1 to 10, while patients between the ages of 11 and 20 had the lowest frequency of *Candida*-positive samples. Only the age group older than 60 years ( $P=0.026$ ) had significant differences according to statistical analysis (Chi-square test and Fisher's exact test) (Table 1).

**Table 1: Shows the prevalence of *Candida* species other than *C. albicans* in various age groups.**

	Total number (n = 78)	Percent	<i>C. albicans</i> (n = 40)	<i>non-albicans Candida</i> (n = 38)	p-value

<b>1-10 years</b>	11	14.1 %	5 (12.5 %)	6 (15.8 %)	0.677
<b>11-20 years</b>	1	1.3 %	1 (2.5 %)	0 (0 %)	0.513
<b>21-30 years</b>	2	2.6 %	0 (0 %)	2 (5.3 %)	0.234
<b>31-40 years</b>	5	6.4 %	2 (5 %)	3 (7.9 %)	0.475
<b>41-50 years</b>	11	14.1 %	5 (12.5 %)	6 (15.8 %)	0.677
<b>51-60 years</b>	10	12.8 %	3 (7.5 %)	7 (18.4 %)	0.135
<b>&gt;61 years</b>	38	48.7 %	24 (60.0 %)	14 (36.8 %)	0.041
<b>Total</b>	78	100 %	40 (100 %)	38 (100 %)	

Of the 40 patients with *C. albicans* isolates, 14 (or 35%) were female and 26 (or 65%) were male. Although 29 (76.3%) of the patients with *non-albicans Candida* isolates were men and 9 (23.7%) were women. In addition, the risk variables for positive candidemia mentioned in Table 2 were examined. Blood culture positives for the *C. albicans* group and the *Candida non-albicans* group were found in 40 and 38 specimens, respectively (Table 2). **These results show that Leukocytosis from patients with *C. albicans* are more likely to be *Candida* positive in cultures than from patients with *Candida non-albicans* and that this difference is statistically significant (P=0.001).** The incidence of *Candida*-positive cultures was also greater (72–92%) among neutrophilic individuals than neutropenic individuals (6–8%), however this difference was not statistically significant (P=0.638). Incidence of *Candida* blood culture-positive

bacterial infection and platelet count (27.52% versus 21.24%, P=0.146) did not differ significantly, as reported in Table 2.

**Table 2: Association of various candidemia risk factors**

<b>Risk factor</b>	<b><i>C. albicans</i></b> <b>(n = 40)</b>	<b><i>non-albicans</i></b> <b><i>Candida</i></b> <b>(n = 38)</b>	<b>p-value</b>
<b><u>Gender</u></b>			
Male	26 (65 %)	29 (76.3 %)	0.273
Female	14 (35 %)	9 (23.7 %)	
<b><u>Nationality</u></b>			
Saudi	22 (55 %)	18 (47.4 %)	0.500
Non-saudi	18 (45 %)	20 (52.6 %)	
<b><u>WBCs</u></b>			
<3000/mm	2 (5 %)	5 (13.2 %)	0.001
3000-5000/mm	25 (62.5 %)	5 (13.2 %)	
>5000/mm	13 (32.5 %)	18 (47.4 %)	
<b><u>Neutrophil</u></b>			
<1500 cells/mm	3 (7.5 %)	3 (7.9 %)	0.638
>1500 cells/mm	37 (92.5 %)	35 (92.1 %)	
<b><u>Bacterial infection</u></b>			
Positive	25 (62.5 %)	14 (36.8 %)	

Negative	15 (37.5 %)	14 (36.8 %)	0.305
<b>Platelet</b>			
<20000	3 (7.5 %)	3 (7.9 %)	
20000-100000	11 (27.5 %)	16 42.1 %	
>100000	26 (65 %)	19 (50.0 %)	0.374

On both blood agar and ~~Saboureaud~~Sabouraud dextrose agar, all 78 *Candida* spp. that tested positive for the presence of *Candida* spp. by microscopy and culture were taken into consideration. *Candida non-albicans* were present in 38 (48.7%) of the 78 samples, of which *C. albicans* was recovered from 40 (51.3%). The remaining *Candida non-albicans* isolates are shown in Table 3, with the highest frequency being shared by *Candida parasilosis* 13 (16.7%) and *Candida tropicalis* 13 (16.7%), followed by *Candida glabrata* 3 (3.8%).

**Table 3: The frequency (%) of isolated *Candida* spp. according to Vitak II identification.**

<i>Candida</i> spp.	Total number (78)	Percent
<i>C. albicans</i>	40	51.3 %
<i>C. glabrata</i>	3	3.8 %
<i>C. parasilosis</i>	13	16.7 %
<i>C. auris</i>	6	7.7 %
<i>C. tropicalis</i>	13	16.7 %
<i>C. krusei</i>	1	1.3 %

<i>C. famata</i>	2	2.6 %
Total	78	100 %

**Table 4: Gram stain method and direct method sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of direct blood culture investigation.**

Types of test	sensitivity	Specificity	Positive predictive value
<b>Direct method</b>	97.4 % (76/78)	100 %	76 (100 %)
<b>Gram stain</b>	98.7 % (77/78)	100 %	77 (100 %)

As shown in Table 5, Gram stain examination of 78 blood cultures revealed *Candida* only form in 29 (37.2%), *Candida* with bacteria Gram positive in 31 (39.7%), and *Candida* with bacteria Gram negative in 18 specimens (23.1%), with no special observations.

Table 5: Shows the microorganisms found using the direct gram stain microscopic method.

Organisms	Direct examination (78)	Percent
<i>Candida</i> only	29	37.2 %
<i>Candida</i> with bacteria (gram-positive)	31	39.7 %
<i>Candida</i> with bacteria (gram-negative)	18	23.1 %

*Candida* spp. susceptibility pattern to fluconazole (FLU), voriconazole (VOR), flucytosine (5FC), amphotericin B (AMP), micafungin (MIC), and caspofungin is shown in Table 6. (CAS). Fluconazole resistance was found in 7.5% of all *C. albicans*. *C. albicans* was found to be completely sensitive to VOR, 5FC, AMP, MIC, and CAS.

Resistance to FLU, VOR, 5FC, AMP, MIC, and was found in 39.5%, 13.2%, 15.8%, 10.5%, and 2.6% of *Candida non-albicans* cases, respectively. *C. albicans* had the highest number of sensitive cases to FLU, which is statistically significant (P=0.001), whereas *Candida non-albicans* spp. have 100% sensitivity to caspofungin, which may help healthcare professionals treat *Candida* infections caused by *Candida non-albicans* in the near future.

**Table 6: Compares *C. albicans* and *Candida non-albicans* susceptibilities to fluconazole, voriconazole, flucytosine, amphotericin B, micafungin, and caspofungin.**

Antifungals	Classified as	<i>C. albicans</i> (n = 40)	<i>non-albicans</i> <i>Candida</i> (n = 38)	p-value
Fluconazole	S	37 (92.5 %)	23 (60.5 %)	0.001
	I	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	
	R	3 (7.5 %)	15 (39.5 %)	
Voriconazole	S	40 (100 %)	33 (86.8 %)	0.024
	I	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	
	R	0 (0 %)	5 (13.2 %)	

<b>Flucytosine</b>	S	40 (100 %)	32 (84.2 %)	0.011
	I	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	
	R	0 (0 %)	6 (15.8 %)	
<b>Amphotericin B</b>	S	40 (100 %)	34 (89.5 %)	0.052
	I	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	
	R	0 (0 %)	4 (10.5 %)	
<b>Micafungin</b>	S	40 (100 %)	37 (97.4 %)	0.487
	I	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	
	R	0 (0 %)	1 (2.6 %)	
<b>Caspofungin</b>	S	40 (100 %)	38 (100 %)	0.999
	I	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	
	R	0 (0 %)	0 (0 %)	

S, susceptible; I, intermediate; R, resistant

#### 4. Discussion

The sixth most prevalent isolated microbial species at Ohud hospital was *Candida*. According to Sheevani et al. (2014), *C. albicans* is the sixth most common cause of nosocomial infections. Age, gender, country, and laboratory tests (Tables 1 and 2) that determined which patients were infected were the variables taken into account in this study. Patients aged > 60 and under 1 year old frequently experienced it. Accept the findings of other researchers despite blood cultures demonstrating *Candida* infection [15] (Kothalawala et al., 2019).

According to the study by Furnaleto et al. (2011)[16], it was generally found that ICU patients, elderly people, and people under one year old were more likely to get a *Candida* spp. infection. Our study's age and gender distribution are consistent with other Saudi Arabian researchers' observations [13, 17, 18].(Bukharie HA., 2002, Al-Jasser AM, Elkhizzi ., 2004, and Ahmed NJ., 2020).

Following *C. albicans* (40, 51.2%), *C. parasilosis* (13, 16.7%), *C. tropicalis* (13, 16.7%), *C. auris* (6, 7.7%), and other species (6, 7.7%), *C. albicans* was the most frequently isolated species. Thus, 48.7% of non-albicans species were present overall. These results support earlier studies from another researcher [13](Al-jasser and Elkhizzi, 2004). Similar to Saudi Arabia, Italy, and Sir Lanka [15](Kothalawala et al., 2019), *C. parasilosis* and *C. tropicalis* were the second most prevalent species there as well [13](Al-jasser and Elkhizzi, 2004).

In our study, *C. albicans* infection is on the rise, despite the fact that it has historically been the primary cause of candidemia worldwide. According to another study, non-albicans *Candida* infections of the bloodstream were more prevalent than those caused by *C. albicans*, particularly in Asia, South Europe, South America, and the Indian subcontinent [19, 20](Falagas et al., 2011 & Chakrabarti., 2002). *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* are non-albicans that account for 33.4% of instances of candidemia in those over 60 and in newborns. It was prevalent in our study among newborns, where the prevalence was comparable to that of *C. albicans*.

Also prevalent in the ICU were *C. parapsilosis* cases in both children and adults. Neoplastic patients and adults both frequent contract *C. tropicalis* [21](Tortorano et al., 2013). Similar to that, it was kept separate from patients with malignancies in our study. Contrarily, patients with leukemia and those who are neutropenic are more likely to contract *C. tropicalis* (Tortorano et al., 2013)[21]. After *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis* was more prevalent in our study among

neutropenic individuals. *C. parapsilosis* is a prevalent pathogen in catheter-related infections and has the potential to produce epidemics since it colonizes the skin.

Particularly concerning is the high prevalence of antifungal resistance among clinical isolates of *Candida* that are *non-albicans*. Fluconazole resistance was present in all strains of *non-albicans Candida* generating candidemia in our cohort (39.5%), while amphotericin B resistance was virtually 2.6%. Since none of the isolates exhibited caspofungin resistance from the outset, it is clear that this class of antifungals is frequently still a viable option for treating invasive *C. glabrata* and *C. auris* infections. However, our discovery of an instance of emerging caspofungin resistance is concerning and should spur additional studies to prevent jeopardizing viable treatment choices for this potentially multidrug-resistant yeast.

The majority of the discovered resistant strains, according to Saha et al (2008) study, are *non-albicans*, highlighting their greatest propensity to develop fluconazole resistance for all *Candida* isolates despite their high amphotericin B susceptibility, which is consistent with our findings. Caspofungin has a 100% sensitivity pattern to *non-albicans* spp. in the current investigation, which may be helpful for medical professionals to treat the *Candida* infection brought on by *non-albicans Candida*. The primary factor leading to *non-albicans Candida* dominance over *C. albicans* is the widespread use of fluconazole in a variety of clinical situations (Kothavade et al., 2010).

According to Kothavade et al (2010) findings, all yeast isolates were susceptible to caspofungin, and there was no discernible difference in susceptibility between *C. albicans* and *non-albicans* species.

#### Reference:

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

1. Messer SA, Jones RN, Fritsche TR. International surveillance of *Candida* spp and *Aspergillus* spp: report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program (2003) J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44(5):1782–7.

Formatted: Font: Italic

2. Richardson M, Lass-Flörl C. Changing epidemiology of systemic fungal infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008;14(Suppl 4):5–24.

3. Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent SM, Seifert H, Wenzel RP, Edmond MB. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;39(3):309–17.

4. Sievert DM, Ricks P, Edwards JR, Schneider A, Patel J, Srinivasan A, et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the national healthcare safety network at the centers for disease control and prevention, 2009–2010. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2013;34(1):1–14.

5. Magill SS, Shields C, Sears CL, Choti M, Merz WG. Triazole cross-resistance among *Candida* spp: case report, occurrence among bloodstream isolates and implications for antifungal therapy. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44(2):529–35.

Formatted: Font: Italic

6. Kothari A, Sagar V. Epidemiology of *Candida* bloodstream infections in a tertiary care institute in India. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2009;27(2):171–2.

Formatted: Font: Italic

7. Shivaprakasha S, Radhakrishnan K, Karim PM. *Candida* spp other than *Candida albicans*: a major cause of fungaemia in a tertiary care centre. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2007;25(4):405–7.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

8. Chakrabarti A, Chatterjee SS, Rao KL, Zameer MM, Shivaprakash MR, Singhi S, et al. Recent experience with fungaemia: change in species distribution and azole resistance. Scand J Infect Dis. 2009;41(4):275–84.

9. Kett DH, Azoulay E, Echeverria PM, Vincent JL; Extended Prevalence of Infection in ICU Study (EPIC II) Group of Investigators. *Candida* bloodstream infections in intensive care units: analysis of the extended prevalence of infection in intensive care unit study. Crit Care Med. 2011;39(4):665–70.

Formatted: Font: Italic

10. Azoulay E, Dupont H, Tabah A, Lortholary O, Stahl JP, Francois A, et al. Systemic antifungal therapy in critically ill patients without invasive fungal infection. Crit Care Med. 2012;40(3):813–22.

11. Lark RL, Chenoweth C, Saint S, Zemencuk JK, Lipsky BA, Plorde JJ. Four year prospective evaluation of nosocomial bacteremia: epidemiology, microbiology, and patient outcome. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2000;38(3):131–40.

12. Gudlaugsson O, Gillespie S, Lee K, Vande Berg J, Hu J, Messer S, et al. Attributable mortality of nosocomial candidaemia, revisited. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37(9):1172–7.

13. [Al-Jasser AM, Elkhizzi NA. Distribution of Candida species among bloodstream isolates. Saudi Med J. 2004;25:566–9. \[PubMed\] \[Google Scholar\]](#)

14. [Sheevani, Sharma P, Aggarwal A. Nosocomial Candida infection in a rural tertiary care hospital. J Clin Diag Res. 2013 Feb; 7\(2\): 405–406.](#)

Formatted: Font: Italic

154. [Kothalawala M, Jayaweera JA, Arunan S, Jayathilake A. The emergence of non-albicans candidemia and evaluation of HiChrome/Hi Chrome Candida differential agar and VITEK2 YST® platform for differentiation of Candida bloodstream isolates in teaching hospital Kandy, Sri Lanka. BMC Microbiol. 2019;19:136 .](#)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

165. [Furnaleto MC, Rota JF, Quesada RM, Furnaleto-Maia L, Rodrigues R, Oda S, et al. Species distribution and in vitro fluconazole susceptibility of clinical](#)

[Candida isolates in a Brazilian tertiary-care hospital over a 3-year period. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2011;44\(5\):595–9. \[PubMed\] \[Google Scholar\]](#)

17. Bukharie HA. Nosocomial candidemia in a tertiary care hospital in Saudi Arabia. *Mycopathologia*. 2002;153:195–8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

18. Ahmed NJ. Incidence of *Candida* species infections in a military hospital in Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia. *JPRI*. 2020;32:6–11. [Google Scholar]

19. Falagas ME, Roussos N, Vardakas KZ. Relative frequency of albicans and the various non-albicans *Candida* spp among candidemia isolates from inpatients in various parts of the world: a systematic review. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2010;14(11):e954–66.

20. Chakrabarti A, Mohan B, Shrivastava SK, Marak RS, Ghosh A, Ray P. Change in distribution and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* species isolated from candidaemia cases in a tertiary care centre during 1996-2000. *Indian J Med Res*. 2002;116:5–12.

21. Tortorano AM, Prigitano A, Lazzarini C. A 1-year prospective survey of candidemia in Italy and changing epidemiology over one decade. *Infection*. 2013;41(3):655–662.

22. Pappas PG. Invasive candidiasis. *Infect Dis Clin N Am*. 2006;20(3):485–506. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2006.07.004

23. Jayaweera JAAS, Reyes MLM. Antimicrobial misuse in pediatric urinary tract infections: recurrences and renal scarring. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob*. 2018;17:27. 10.1186/s12941-018-0279-4

24. Al-Jasser AM, Elkhizzi NA. Distribution of *Candida* species among bloodstream isolates. *Saudi Med J*. 2004;25:566–9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

219. Hind Alhatmi, Sarah Almansour, Reem Abanamy, Abdullah Akbar, Mohammed Abalkhail, Ahmad Alharbi, Abdulrahman Alsaedy, Ebrahim Mahmoud, Bassam Alalwan, Sameera AlJohani, Omar S. Aldibasi, Mohammad Bosaeed, and Adel Alothman. Clinical Characteristics and Outcome of Candidemia: Experience from a Tertiary Referral Center in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Med Med Sci. 2022 May-Aug; 10(2): 125-130.

20. Bukhari HA. Nosocomial candidemia in a tertiary care hospital in Saudi Arabia. Mycopathologia. 2002;153:195-8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

21. Ahmed NJ. Incidence of *Candida* species infections in a military hospital in Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia. JPRI. 2020;32:6-11. [Google Scholar]

22. Fumaleto MC, Rota JF, Quesada RM, Fumaleto Maia L, Rodrigues R, Oda S, et al. Species distribution and in vitro fluconazole susceptibility of clinical *Candida* isolates in a Brazilian tertiary care hospital over a 3-year period. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop. 2011;44(S):595-9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

23. Chang MR, Correia FP, Costa LC, Xavier PC, Palhares DB, Taira DL, et al. *Candida* bloodstream infection: data from a teaching hospital in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo. 2008;50(5):265-8.

24. Akeme Yamamoto AC, de Paula CR, Dias LB, Tadano T, Martins ER, Amadio JV, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of nosocomial candidiasis in university hospitals in Curitiba--Mato Grosso, Brazil. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2012;29(3):164-8.

25. Falagas ME, Roussos N, Vardakas KZ. Relative frequency of albicans and the various non-albicans *Candida* spp among candidemia isolates from inpatients in various parts of the world: a systematic review. Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14(11):e954-66.

26. Chakrabarti A, Mohan B, Shrivastava SK, Marak RS, Ghosh A, Ray P. Change in distribution and antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* species isolated

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red, Highlight

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

~~from candidaemia cases in a tertiary care centre during 1996-2000. Indian J Med Res. 2002;116:5-12.~~

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript.

#### ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the General Directorate of Health Affairs in Madina, KSA, with reference number IRB-22-070.

UNDER PEER REVIEW