

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_96883
Title of the Manuscript:	Experience of child drivers of the blind : Cases observed in the streets of the city of Kisangani in DR Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The article is important for the welfare of the society and actually, the system of study is methodical and scientific only. Hence, the relevance and the significance of the study are established.</p> <p>Yes, the title is simple and to the point.</p> <p>Needs some modification and objective impersonalised sentence structures.</p> <p>They are appropriate.</p> <p>Correct only.</p> <p>References are enough though the citation style is not meticulously followed. References 1, 11, 16 and 18 are totally wrong and other entries have punctuation issues.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Impersonal narration and grammar proofing is suggested for the work.	
Optional/General comments	Usage of 'we' must be avoided. Impersonal passive voice should be used throughout and tense issues are also found which are off-putting to be considered for publication in a standard journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Sarpparaje
Department, University & Country	Thiagarajar College of Engineering, India