

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_97573
Title of the Manuscript:	Protective effect of lycopene rich tomato puree on the development of atherosclerosis/heart diseases in animal models
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The research bears the idea of using nutritional supplements to prevent chronic diseases such as hyperlipidemia and heart disease</p> <p>Title is acceptable</p> <p>The abstract needs revision, to provide background, aim , methodology , results Some paragraphs need rephrasing</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically acceptable but the discussion of the results should be improved . How Lycopene improves the lipid profile and protects cardiac tissues</p> <p>Recent references relevant to mechanism of Lycopene beneficial effects can be added</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English should be improved	
Optional/General comments	The article can be considered for publication after the considering all comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Shaker Ali
Department, University & Country	King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia