

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_97538
Title of the Manuscript:	A Promising Analytical Method has been Crafted, Validated, and Quantified for Estimating Theophylline Utilizing UV Spectroscopic and RP-HPLC Techniques in conjunction with Stress Degradation Studies to Pinpoint Deterioration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The research proposes a precise, efficient and specific approach to UV and HPLC spectroscopy for routine theophylline analysis. It can be used to identify related substances or contaminants during storage conditions and estimate the analyte of interest without interference. It is suggested to change the title of the paper to: <i>Estimating Theophylline Utilizing UV Spectroscopic and RP-HPLC Techniques in conjunction with Stress Degradation Studies to Pinpoint Deterioration</i> The abstract is very comprehensive. The subsections and structure are appropriate. The references are sufficient and relatively recent Keyword optimization; Unicontin-E	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES. For scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	What is indicated in blue must be corrected in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adolfo Eduardo Obaya Valdivia
Department, University & Country	Mexico