

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_97919
Title of the Manuscript:	TRENDS IN AREA, PRODUCTION, AND PRODUCTIVITY OF COFFEE IN CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT OF KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The manuscript important for scientific community 2.Title of the article suitable, good and clear. 3. The abstract of the article comprehensive, good and clear. The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. Materials and methods with subtitle : area study, experimental design, data collection methods/measurement of research results, data analysis 5.The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented. 6. The references sufficient and Need to add the recent references Writing the manuscript is adjusted to the guidelines for the author. The two paragraphs in the introduction have no references Figure 1 and figure 2 are not cited in the results and discussion In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dwi Susanto
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia