

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_95689
Title of the Manuscript:	coagulation parameters among individuals with Hepatitis B infections in Okada, Edo state , Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The author needs to include more literature review</p> <p>The abstract needs some changes. There is no link between first and second sentence.</p> <p>Need more literature review</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article needs English language review. There are words that are used appropriately.	
Optional/General comments	The sample size is small. The test parameter mentioned are PT, APTT and platelet count which can needs to be validated to associate with HBV. There ethical clearance is not mentioned. There is no description of demographic information. There is no link between control and patients (age/gender match).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishal Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Royal Centre for Disease Control, Bhutan