

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_97706
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Depression, Anxiety & Stress and their correlation with sociodemographic factors amongst recently enrolled medical and paramedical students.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Less important 2. Title was too long 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Need more references and 3 references were incorrect</p> <p>Title Please revise. Title too long. Suggestion: Assessment of Depression, Anxiety and Stress among medical students This study only investigated the correlation between DAS and sociodemographic factors. But the analysis used was ANOVA and t-test (to compare between variables). Other suggestion: Table 3 should be deleted Table 2 change to bar diagram</p> <p>Introduction Please consider revising. Propose merging the medical and paramedical fields into a single population, as no correlation data demonstrated the disparities between the two. the epidemiological data produced will aid in enhancing medical student wellness, which may favorably affect patient care Please revise. The benefit of this research should be greater.</p> <p>Objectives Please revise. Objective number one was to assess between two groups, and objective number two was to examine only one group. Suggestion: Combine two populations or compare between populations each epidemiological characteristic that corresponds with DAS.</p> <p>Sample Size Please consider revising. Typically, this formula is employed for a single population. However, this investigation used two groups (medical and paramedical). This minimal data total should be doubled.</p> <p>Data analysis Please revise. The title was to analyze the correlation, but anova was used for the analysis. ANOVA was used to examine the difference between more than two groups. Please revise. Symbolic was uncommon term. Change to significant.</p> <p>Table 2 Please revise. Table 2 should not include a total, as mild severity should not be combined to severe and extremely severe severity.</p> <p>Table 3 Please consider revising. Look at the comment in objectives</p> <p>Table 4 Please revise. Simplify table 4</p> <p>Discussion Please revise. It is necessary to discuss the differences between variables in table 4. Elaborate more in discussion.</p> <p>References Please revise. References #1, #4, #10 #1 – no journal source #4 – use the correct style for this journal #10 – no journal source Please add more references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly</p>	<p>Need more revision for English grammar</p>	

Review Form 1.7

communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indrayadi Gunardi
Department, University & Country	Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia