

Original Research Article

INFLUENCE OF SILICON AND PHOSPHORUS ON YIELD AND NUTRIENT CONTENT OF WHEAT IN LOAMY SAND SOILS OF NORTH GUJARAT

ABSTRACT

To investigate the influence of silicon and phosphorus application on yield and nutrient content of wheat, a field was conducted at Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar during Rabi season of 2020-2021. Experimental soil was loamy sand in texture with pH 7.01 and electrical conductivity 0.19 dSm⁻¹. The soil was low in organic carbon (0.39%) and medium in available phosphorus (38.10 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (191.25 kg ha⁻¹). Available silicon in soils was 60.75 mg kg⁻¹. The experiment was dispersed as four levels of phosphorus (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and four levels of silicon (0, 100, 200 and 300 kg Si ha⁻¹) with randomized block design with factorial concept and replicated thrice. All the plots received a uniform recommended dose of nitrogen @ 120 kg ha⁻¹. The results of experiment clearly indicated that individual application of phosphorus @ 60 kg ha⁻¹ and silicon @ 100 kg ha⁻¹ recorded the significantly highest grain yield. With respect to phosphorus and silicon content in grain and straw individual application 60 and 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ and 300 kg Si ha⁻¹ recorded maximum. As of interaction effect, significantly highest grain yield, straw yield and phosphorus content in grain and straw was found with interaction effect of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Si level at 100 kg ha⁻¹. Whereas the significantly highest silicon content in grain and straw was found with interaction of 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Si level at 100 kg ha⁻¹ and 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Si level at 200 kg ha⁻¹. The results of experimentation concluded that application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ along with 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ recorded higher wheat yield in loamy sand under North Gujarat Agro-climatic conditions.

Keywords: Wheat, phosphorus, silicon, nutrient content, yield

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is an edible grain, one in every of oldest and most significant of the cereal crops. It's been cultivated for over 10,000 years in all probability, originates with the Fertile Crescent, together with other staple crops. It's one in every of the vital and cultivated staple crops grown both in land area and production among the other grains of the globe. India occupies second position next to China within the world with regard to area 29.14 million hectares and production 102.19 million tonnes with average productivity of 35.07 q ha⁻¹ of wheat. It's a very important winter cereal contributing about 32.04 % of the total food grain production in India. In India, main wheat growing states are UP, Punjab, M.P., Haryana, Rajasthan and Bihar. In Gujarat, wheat has an area of 7.97 lakh hectare with the production of 2.4 million tonnes. The average

Comment [1]: The title needs to be structured for a better understanding, especially in the name of the region.

Comment [2]: Where is the research objective? I suggest you describe the overall purpose of the study.

Comment [3]: Remove

Comment [4]: Remove

Comment [5]: Remove

Comment [6]: What was the level of significance? For example P < 0.05?

Comment [7]: I recommend you add a short conclusion about the study.

Comment [8]: In general, the introduction should contextualize the subject addressed, highlighting the research problem, as well as the hypotheses raised and tested in the study.

The three paragraphs described in the Introduction are large and contain long sentences. I suggest that the authors separate these paragraphs and write short sentences, so that the beds can better understand the study.

In addition, it is important to highlight the importance of having done this research when compared to the other studies already conducted? What is the novelty of this study for the agronomic scientific community?

What are the scientific and technological challenges that can help in the area of research study?

productivity of wheat in the state is 30.0 q ha⁻¹. World demand for wheat is increasing because of the distinctive viscoelastic and adhesive properties of gluten proteins that facilitate the assembly of processed foods, whose consumption is increasing as a result of the worldwide industry method and therefore the westernization of the diet.

Next to nitrogen, phosphorus is that the most important nutrient needed by a wheat crop from seedling to maturity. It acts behind the scenes assisting with photosynthesis, energy storage and cellular division. Wheat uptakes P about 0.5-0.6 pounds of P₂O₅ per bushel. Without enough available P within the soil, plants will suffer from deficiencies like stunted growth, purple discoloration of stem and leaves, reduced root system and poor tillering. Alam *et al.* (2003) reported that application of phosphate fertilizer has increased the plant height, number of tillers plant⁻¹, straw and grain yields as well as P-uptake in grain over control. Jat *et al.* (2016) also reported that phosphorus application at 60 kg ha⁻¹ has given higher grain and straw yield.

Silicon is one of the primary components of virtually all soils. In fact, next to oxygen, Si is that the most prevalent element within the earth and varieties of Si such as quartz and aluminosilicates often contribute as much as 75-95% of the inorganic fraction of mass (Jackson *et. al.*, 1949). Silicon constitutes about 10% of plant dry matter, but still it is not considered as essential element (Sacala, 2009). Ahmed *et al.* (2002) reported that application of silicon to wheat which is grown under different salinity stress has increased the nutrient uptake. Mesoporous Si nanoparticles formed within wheat plant cells were shown to increase wheat seedling growth, seedling photosynthetic activity, and total protein content of seedlings (Sun *et al.*, 2016). The interaction between Si and P is not direct, but indirect. High soil sorption of phosphorus (P) in some P deficient low pH soils reduces the efficiency of P fertilizer use and crop yields. By increasing the monosilicic acid concentration in the soil solution, plants are able to absorb phosphates (P) directly. Greger *et al.* (2018) revealed that application of silicon with nutrient medium in wheat crop has increased the content of S, Mg, Ca, B, Fe, P, and Mn and decreased the N, Cu, Zn and K content. Keeping the view of points the study was conducted to investigate the effect of silicon and phosphorus on nutrient content and yield in wheat in loamy sand soil under North Gujarat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Agronomy Instructional Farm, Chimanbhai Patel College of Agriculture, Sardarkrushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University,

Comment [9]: What is the reference of this paragraph? Where did the authors obtain this important information from? It is necessary to mention the bibliographic sources...

Comment [10]: I would like to emanate the authors who add current references on the study covered. I think it should enough works already published with the research theme.

Comment [11]: Big paragraph. Separate into two or three

Comment [12]: In the original research paper it is essential to use statistical analysis, especially when it is proposed to study the levels of concentrations of mineral elements. Therefore, I strongly recommend that the authors rewrite the experimental design used in the research highlighting the factorial. I recommend that the authors did not use any test to compare the means of the treatments. Therefore, I suggest that the authors make this information clear in the text.

Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha (Gujarat) during *Rabi*, 2020-21. Geographically, this place is located at 72°19' East longitude and 24°19' North latitude at 154.52 meters above the mean sea level and situated in North Gujarat agro climatic zone of Gujarat state. Soil of the experimental plot was loamy sand in texture, neutral in reaction, low in organic carbon, medium in available P₂O₅ and K₂O. The experiment comprises of four levels of phosphorus (0, 30, 60, 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and four levels of silicon (0, 100, 200, 300 kg Si ha⁻¹) along with recommended dose of nitrogen (120 kg ha⁻¹) and total sixteen treatment combinations were laid out in Randomized Block Design (Factorial) with three replications. The variety used was GW- 451 with seed rate of 120 kg ha⁻¹. The observations of yield and nutrient content of grain and straw were recorded in net plot area after harvest. Chemical analysis of grain and straw samples were done separately by following the method of Micro-Kjeldahl's method (Waranke and Barber, 1974) for nitrogen, Vanadomolybdo phosphoric acid yellow colour method (Jackson, 1973) for phosphorus, (Flame photometric method (Jackson, 1973) for potassium and Colorimetric method (Dai *et al.*, 2005) for silicon.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)

The results presented in data illustrate that wheat grain yield was significantly influenced by phosphorus and silicon application. The most grain (3808 kg ha⁻¹) yield was recorded thanks to application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The most reason for increase in grain yield with different levels of phosphatic fertilizer may be because of more practical tillers per plant which may well be the result of higher rate of photosynthesis and better crop health which ultimately increased the ultimate grain yield. Plants showed normal growth with the appliance of phosphorus and resulted in improved agronomic traits which lead toward improved grain yield (Sajal *et al.* 2016). Similar findings were reported with Jain and Dahama (2006) and Sharma *et al.*, (2012). The significantly higher grain (3619 kg ha⁻¹) yield was recorded thanks to silicon application at 100 kg ha⁻¹; while the bottom grain (2981 kg ha⁻¹) yield was recorded under Si₀. The rise in yield with Si application may well be because of the improving leaf erectness by decreasing mutual shading of leaves, reducing lodging, decreasing the incidence of pathogens and preventing manganese and iron toxicity or both. Application of Si, increased water use efficiency probably may be because of prevention of excessive transpiration. Just in case of interaction effect, combination of phosphorus level *i.e.* 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Si level at 100 kg ha⁻¹ showed significantly highest

Comment [13]: In general, comments are described in the previous item where I talk about text and long sentences.

The results and discussion are objective and clear. However, I suggest that the authors make short paragraphs highlighting the main result addressed and consequently a discussion.

grain yield (4304 kg ha⁻¹). Very cheap grain yield (2565 kg ha⁻¹) was observed in P₀Si₀. Schaller *et al.*, (2019) stated that silicon addition significantly increases phosphorus mobilization by mobilizing Fe (II)-P phases from mineral surfaces.

Table 1. Effect of phosphorus and silicon on grain yield, straw yields and protein content of wheat

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Protein (%)
Phosphorus levels			
P ₀ -0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	2789	4853	9.90
P ₁ - 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	3049	5037	10.17
P ₂ - 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	3808	5729	10.69
P ₃ - 90 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	3766	5506	10.50
S.Em.±	77.00	118.66	0.31
C.D. (P=0.05)	222.40	342.72	NS
Silicon levels			
Si ₀ -0 kg Si ha ⁻¹	2981	4828	9.98
Si ₁ -100 kg Si ha ⁻¹	3619	5584	10.43
Si ₂ -200 kg Si ha ⁻¹	3459	5417	10.70
Si ₃ -300 kg Si ha ⁻¹	3354	5296	10.13
S.Em.±	77.00	118.66	0.31
C.D. (P=0.05)	222.40	342.72	NS
Interaction			
P x Si	Sig.	Sig.	NS
C.V.(%)	7.96	7.78	10.49

Comment [14]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

Comment [15]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Comment [16]: What does this term mean?

I suggest you put that term in the extensive form and not by abbreviation...

Comment [17]: What is that is?

Table 2. Interaction effect of phosphorus and silicon on grain yield of wheat

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹)			
	Phosphorus levels (kg ha ⁻¹)			
Silicon levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Si ₀	2568	2579	3123	3653
Si ₁	2721	3503	4304	3946
Si ₂	3034	3007	3939	3855
Si ₃	2832	3109	3866	3610
S.Em. ±	154.00			
C.D. (P=0.05)	444.80			
C.V. (%)	7.96			

Comment [18]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Straw yield (kg ha⁻¹)

Application of various levels of silicon and phosphorus has exhibited a major influence on straw yield of wheat. All- time low straw (4853 kg ha⁻¹) yield was observed under P₀. The most straw (5729 kg ha⁻¹) yield was recorded thanks to application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Early tiller

formation is important to plant health and ultimately final yield potential. The initial tillers formed by the plant have higher yield potential than late tillers or delayed tillers. When adequate phosphorus is offered in soil, resulting tillers are healthier and productive. The results are in line with the findings of Jat *et al.* (2018) and Singh *et al.* (2018). Significantly highest straw (5584 kg ha⁻¹) yield was recorded thanks to silicon application at 100 kg ha⁻¹; while lowest straw yield (4828 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under Si₀. The rise in yield with Si application can be thanks to the improving leaf erectness, reduction in lodging and decreasing susceptibility to plant pathogens and pests and preventing manganese and iron toxicity or both. Application of Si, increased water use efficiency probably may be because of prevention of excessive transpiration. Just in case of interaction effect, the best straw yield of wheat crop was observed because of combined application of 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ (6201 kg ha⁻¹) over the remainder of the combinations. (Ma and Feng, 1990) described that in water culture, when P is low Si causes a decrease in Fe and Mn uptake and thus promotes P availability within the plant. From these findings, it's clear that Si application alleviated the phosphorus uptakes which resulted within the increase in biomass of wheat crop.

Table 3. Interaction effect of phosphorus and Silicon on straw yield of wheat

Treatments	Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹)			
	Phosphorus levels (kg ha ⁻¹)			
Silicon levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Si ₀	3739	5087	5450	5036
Si ₁	5162	4800	6172	6201
Si ₂	5262	4819	6094	5494
Si ₃	5249	5441	5201	5292
S.Em. ±	237.33			
C.D. (P=0.05)	685.45			
C.V. (%)	7.78			

Comment [19]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

Comment [20]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Nitrogen content in grain (%)

Data presented in Table 4 indicates that individual application of different levels of phosphorous and silicon did not exert significant effect on nitrogen content in grain. The maximum nitrogen content was reported with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ (1.78%) and 200 kg Si ha⁻¹ (11.79%) and minimum nitrogen content of 1.65% and 1.67% was observed with control respectively. The interaction effect was found to non-significant in all levels of treatments.

Table 4. Effect of phosphorus and silicon on nutrients and protein content in grain

Treatments	Nitrogen	Phosphorus (%)	Potassium (%)	Silicon (%)
------------	----------	----------------	---------------	-------------

Comment [21]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

	(%)			
Phosphorus levels				
P ₀ -0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	1.65	0.24	0.83	1.42
P ₁ - 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	1.70	0.28	0.85	1.50
P ₂ - 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	1.78	0.36	0.89	1.64
P ₃ - 90 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	1.75	0.31	0.86	1.62
S.Em.±	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.03
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.03	NS	0.10
Silicon levels (kg ha⁻¹)				
Si ₀ -0 kg Si ha ⁻¹	1.67	0.28	0.85	1.32
Si ₁ -100 kg Si ha ⁻¹	1.74	0.32	0.87	1.58
Si ₂ -200 kg Si ha ⁻¹	1.79	0.30	0.86	1.61
Si ₃ -300 kg Si ha ⁻¹	1.69	0.28	0.85	1.67
S.Em.±	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.03
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.03	NS	0.10
Interaction				
P x Si	NS	Sig.	NS	Sig.
C.V.(%)	10.49	10.25	11.25	7.68

Comment [22]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Comment [23]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Phosphorus content in grain (%)

Different levels of phosphorus and silicon significantly influenced the phosphorus content in wheat grain. Application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ has recorded significantly highest phosphorus content (0.36%) which is at par with 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The lowest phosphorus content (0.24%) was observed with control. Significant difference phosphorus content is mainly due to application of phosphorus as moderately supply of phosphorus is needed for growing period of wheat for improving nutrient content in grain. The results are in close agreement with those reported by Sharma *et al.* (2012). In case of silicon application, highest phosphorus content (0.32%) was found with 100 kg Si ha⁻¹; however it is at par with 200 kg Si ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹. The lowest phosphorus content (0.28%) was observed with control. It is mainly due to application of silicon which increased the root exudation of organic acids that mobilize the phosphorus in the rhizosphere region and unregulated the transport of phosphorus to root in wheat crops. For interaction effect, combination of phosphorus *i.e.*, 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Si level at 100 kg ha⁻¹ and 200 kg ha⁻¹ showed significantly higher phosphorus content (0.39%); which was at par with P₆₀ x Si₃₀₀, P₉₀ x Si₀. The lowest phosphorus content (0.21%) is observed with control and P₀ x Si₃₀₀. Studies have indicated that Si fertilization enhances plant phosphorus (P) utilization by increasing both P content of rice and phosphate fertilizer efficiency. The results are similar with the findings of Rao *et al.* (2018).

Table 5. Interaction effect of phosphorus and silicon on phosphorus content in wheat grain

Treatments	Phosphorus (%)			
	Phosphorus levels (kg ha ⁻¹)			
Silicon levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Si ₀	0.21	0.26	0.31	0.34
Si ₁	0.28	0.30	0.39	0.32
Si ₂	0.25	0.27	0.39	0.31
Si ₃	0.21	0.29	0.35	0.25
S.Em. ±	0.02			
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.05			
C.V. (%)	10.25			

Comment [24]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

Comment [25]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Potassium content in grain (%)

Data presented in Table 5 indicates that different levels of phosphorous and silicon application did not exert significant effect on potassium contents in wheat grain. The maximum potassium content 0.89% and 0.87% was recorded with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ respectively. Interaction between phosphorus and silicon application was found to be non-significant with respect to potassium content in grain.

Silicon content in grain (%)

The different levels of phosphorus and silicon application to wheat significantly affected the silicon content in grains of wheat. The significantly highest silicon content (1.64%) was recorded with application of 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and it was at par with 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, while the lowest (1.42%) was recorded with control. The highest silicon content (1.67%) was recorded with 300 kg Si ha⁻¹ and lowest (1.32%) was recorded with control. But, it was at par with 200 kg Si ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹. The increase in silicon content in wheat grain is due to the mobilization of silicon which increases the availability of silicon for uptake. The results are line with the finds of Singh *et al.* (2006). The data on interaction of P x Si (Table 6) revealed that significantly highest silicon content (1.82%) was observed due to the combined effect of 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ over rest of the treatment combinations. However, it was at par with treatment combination with P₆₀ x Si₁₀₀, P₆₀ x Si₂₀₀ and P₉₀ x Si₂₀₀. The lowest silicon content (1.30%) was recorded with P₀ x Si₁₀₀. The decrease in P adsorption in soil with increase in the availability of P in soil and plants result in increase in phosphorus content in crop.

Table 6. Interaction effect of phosphorus and silicon on silicon content in wheat grain

Treatments	Silicon (%)			
	Phosphorus levels (kg ha ⁻¹)			

Comment [26]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

Silicon levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Si ₀	1.43	1.34	1.32	1.17
Si ₁	1.30	1.46	1.75	1.82
Si ₂	1.36	1.54	1.76	1.79
Si ₃	1.58	1.67	1.72	1.71
S.Em. ±	0.07			
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.20			
C.V. (%)	7.68			

Comment [27]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Nitrogen content in straw (%)

The different level of phosphorus and silicon presented in the table 7 clearly indicates that there's no significant influence in nitrogen content in wheat straw. Maximum nitrogen content of 0.98% and 0.99% was recorded with application of 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ whereas minimum nitrogen content of 0.95% and 0.96% was observed with control. The interaction effect were found to be non significant between the treatments.

Table 7. Effect of phosphorus and silicon on nutrients content in wheat straw

Comment [28]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

Treatments	Nitrogen (%)	Phosphorus (%)	Potassium (%)	Silicon (%)
Phosphorus levels				
P ₀ -0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	0.95	0.19	1.05	3.13
P ₁ - 30 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	0.96	0.22	1.09	3.56
P ₂ - 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	0.97	0.25	1.17	3.56
P ₃ - 90 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹	0.98	0.26	1.08	3.76
S.Em.±	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.09
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.02	NS	0.25
Silicon levels (kg ha⁻¹)				
Si ₀ -0 kg Si ha ⁻¹	0.96	0.20	1.07	3.15
Si ₁ -100 kg Si ha ⁻¹	0.99	0.24	1.12	3.52
Si ₂ -200 kg Si ha ⁻¹	0.95	0.24	1.13	3.64
Si ₃ -300 kg Si ha ⁻¹	0.96	0.25	1.08	3.71
S.Em.±	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.09
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	0.02	NS	0.25
Interaction				
P x Si	NS	Sig.	NS	Sig.
C.V.(%)	8.06	10.27	10.43	8.46

Comment [29]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Phosphorus content in straw (%)

The various levels of phosphorus and silicon application have a big effect on phosphorus content in wheat straw (Table 7). The highest phosphorus content (0.26%) was found with the appliance of 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. However, it had been at par with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The lowest

phosphorus content (0.20%) was observed with control. Just in case of silicon application, application of 300 kg Si ha⁻¹ recorded the maximum utmost phosphorus content of 0.25% in straw. It is at par with 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ and 200 kg Si ha⁻¹. The bottom phosphorus content (0.20%) was recorded with control. As for the interaction effect between phosphorus and silicon, they're found be significantly influenced the phosphorus content in straw (Table 8). The highest phosphorus content (0.30%) was observed with combination of phosphorus *i.e.*, 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ with Si level at 300 kg ha⁻¹ but it was absolutely at par with P₆₀ x Si₁₀₀, P₉₀ x Si₁₀₀, P₆₀ x Si₂₀₀, P₉₀ x Si₂₀₀, P₆₀ x Si₃₀₀. The lowest phosphorous content (0.19%) was observed with control and P₀ x Si₃₀₀. Application of silicon together with phosphorus by which silicon solubilizes phosphorus and increase its use efficiency may be reason more phosphorus content in straw.

Table 8. Interaction effect of phosphorus and silicon on phosphorus content in wheat straw

Treatments	Phosphorus (%)			
	Phosphorus levels (kg ha ⁻¹)			
Silicon levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Si ₀	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.20
Si ₁	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.27
Si ₂	0.17	0.23	0.28	0.26
Si ₃	0.19	0.24	0.26	0.30
S.Em. ±	0.01			
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.04			
C.V.(%)	10.27			

Comment [30]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments?
What was the statistical test applied?

Comment [31]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

Potassium content in straw (%)

Data presented in Table 7 indicates that different levels of phosphorous and silicon application didn't exert significant effect on potassium contents in wheat straw. The utmost potassium content of 1.17% and 1.13% was reported with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 200 kg Si ha⁻¹ application. The minimum potassium content of 1.05% 1.07% was noted under control of both treatments. In case interaction effect, there was no significant different between the treatments.

Silicon content in straw (%)

The results reported in Table 7 indicated that silicon content of wheat straw was significantly stricken by different levels of phosphorus and silicon application. For phosphorus levels, significantly the best silicon content (3.76%) was observed with 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and therefore the lowest (3.13%) was recorded with control. However, it was at par with 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 30 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. In case of silicon levels, the highest silicon content was observed with 300 kg Si ha⁻¹ (3.71%). However, it absolutely was at par with 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ and 200 kg Si ha⁻¹.

The rock bottom silicon content (3.15%) was noted under control. Meanwhile, the interaction effect was found to be significant. The data on interaction of P and Si (Table 9) revealed that significantly the highest silicon content (4.21%) was observed due to the combined effect of 90 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 200 kg Si ha⁻¹ over rest of the treatment combinations. However, it had been at par with treatment combination with P₉₀ x Si₁₀₀, P₃₀ x Si₂₀₀, P₃₀ x Si₃₀₀, P₆₀ x Si₃₀₀ and P₉₀ x Si₃₀₀. The lowest silicon content was recorded with P₀ x Si₂₀₀ (2.89%).

Table 9. Interaction effect of phosphorus and silicon on silicon content in wheat straw

Silicon (%)				
Treatments	Phosphorus levels (kg ha ⁻¹)			
Silicon levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Si ₀	3.45	3.31	2.93	2.93
Si ₁	3.28	3.36	3.60	3.83
Si ₂	2.89	3.82	3.68	4.21
Si ₃	2.93	3.76	4.09	4.07
S.Em. ±	0.17			
C.D. (P=0.05)	0.49			
C.V. (%)	8.46			

Comment [32]: The authors argue that there was a significant difference, but how was this analysis made to compare the means of the treatments? What was the statistical test applied?

Comment [33]: Here is described the value of P, but in the methodology is not informed. Why?

CONCLUSION

The result from the present experiment clearly bring out the conclusion that application of phosphorus @ 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and silicon @ 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ has resulted in highest yield and nutrient content in both grain and straw. So, this present study suggest that application of phosphorus @ 60 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and silicon @ 100 kg Si ha⁻¹ together with recommended dose of nitrogen of 120 kg ha⁻¹ to wheat under loamy sand lead to high yield under North Gujarat condition.

Comment [34]: The conclusion should be aligned with the research objective. Therefore, I suggest that the authors make a new conclusion based on the proposed objective and not repeat the result addressed.

REFERENCES

- Alam, S. M., Azam, S., Ali, S. and Iqbal, M. (2003). Wheat yield and P fertilizer efficiency as influenced by rate and integrated use of chemical and organic fertilizers. *Pakistan Journal of Soil Science*, 22(2): 72-76.
- Greger, M., Tommy L. and Marek, V. (2018). Silicon influences soil availability and accumulation of mineral nutrients in various plant species. *Plants*, 7: 41.
- Jackson, M.L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis, Prentice-Hall of India Private Ltd., New Delhi.
- Jackson M. L.; Pennington R. P. and Mackie W. Z. (1949). Crystal Chemistry of Soils: I. The Fundamental Structural Groups and Families of Silicate Materials. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 13: 139-145.

Comment [35]: Format and review references according to the standards of the journal.

- Jain, N. K. and Dahama, A. K. (2006). Direct and residual effects of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)-pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) cropping system. *Indian journal of Agronomy*, **51**: 165- 691.
- Jat, B. L., Gupta, J. K., Dhaker, M. R. and Sharma, R. N. (2016). Effect of foliar application of zinc sulphate and thiourea on productivity of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) in Dausa district of Rajasthan, India. *Plant Archives*, **16**(1): 196-200.
- Jat, R. C., Sharma, Y., Jakhar, R. K. and Sharma, R. K. (2018). *Chemical Science Review and Letter*, **7**(26): 496-500.
- Ma and Jian Feng. (1990). Studies on beneficial effects of silicon on rice plants. <https://doi.org/10.11501/2964293>.
- Sacala, E. (2009). Role of silicon in plant resistance to water stress. *Journal Elopements*, **14**: 619- 630.
- Sajal, P., Biplab, P., Badole, S., Gora, H., and Mandal, B. (2016). Effect of Silicon Fertilization on Growth, Yield, and Nutrient Uptake of Rice. *Communications in soil science and plant analysis*, **47**(3): 284–290.
- Schaller, J., Faucherre, S. and Joss, H. (2019). Silicon increases the phosphorus availability of Arctic soils. *Science Report*, **9**: 449.
- Sharma, A., Rawat, U. S. and Yadav, B. K. (2012). Influence of phosphorus levels and phosphorus solubilizing fungi on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat under Sub-Humid Region of Rajasthan, India. *International Scholarly Research Network Agronomy*, DOI:10.5402/2012/234656
- Singh, V., Singh, R. S., Singh, G., Singh, B. N. and Singh, R. (2018). Effect of phosphorus levels on the growth characters and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) varieties grown under late sown condition. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **6**(5): 2468-2471.
- Sun, Y., Wu, L. H. and Li, X. Y. (2016). Experimental determination of silicon isotope fractionation in Rice. *Plos ONE*. **11**.
- Waranke, D. D. and Barber, H. H. (1974). Root development and nutrient uptake by corn grown in solution culture. *Agronomy Journal*, **66** : 514-520.