

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98029
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on macro propagation of banana cv. Nanjangud Rasabale (AAB) using growth media
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is very important to solve the difficulties of banana crops No, Effect of culture medium components on the production of banana cv. Nanjangud Rasabale (AAB) May be No Yes, scientifically the work is correct but the writing of the results is not well established The manuscript is poor in bibliography in the introduction and lacks discussion with other works	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is necessary to correct the spelling errors mentioned in the word file	
Optional/General comments	The idea and the scientific novelty is present but the method of presentation of work did not highlight the work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mtibaa Latifa
Department, University & Country	Military Hospital of Tunis, Tunisia