

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97184
Title of the Manuscript:	Rainwater Harvesting for Effective Groundwater Recharge and Management in Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It is important but needs to be improved. 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Improvements are suggested. 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes. 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes. 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Consider more recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing needs to be improved, it uses a lot of redundancy and lack of punctuation marks, etc.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lenin Quiñones Huatangari
Department, University & Country	National University of Jaen, Peru