

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_95688
Title of the Manuscript:	Heamatological parameters of type2 diabetic patients attending Igbinedion University Teaching Hospital Okada, Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Manuscript contains some relevant data, but the results and conclusion does not totally correlate.</p> <p>2. Not complete. It can be modified to read "Assessment of some heamatological parameters in type2 diabetic patients attending Igbinedion University Teaching Hospital Okada, Edo State, Nigeria."</p> <p>3. Not quite comprehensive. It will require an overhaul.</p> <p>4. It is acceptable.</p> <p>5. Some statements may need to be rephrased and citations included to improve scientific correctness.</p> <p>6. Additional references are necessary as indicated in the text.</p> <p>Other points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should avoid figures at the beginning of sentences • Should include key words • Should use square brackets in citations • Should write parameters in full at first mention with abbreviation in bracket, then abbreviate subsequently. • Should avoid texts under tables and provide foot notes. • Should chose between table and chart and use approved reference format. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Not suitable, but has been guided in some places where minor corrections are necessary to improve presentation.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enyinnaya Anthony Ogbonnaya
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria