

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_96644
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater Flow Modelling for Selected Parts of Port- Harcourt and Obi-Akpo Local Government Areas in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, Hydro-Geological system via Groundwater Flow Modelling is vital role in every Urban city/State/Country. No, Moderately Moderately Need more clarifications Need more adjacent area research recent citation Research article gives proper explanations & Justification & Recommendations All images should be modified with improve the clarity with Proper Map scale Add more information regarding area in Groundwater Flow Model (Refer published article), Table 1. Need proper unit with citation. More clarity need for a this Research article (Refer published article) Conclusion part must approach the Result and Discussion findings.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Should/must improve	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Muthusamy
Department, University & Country	V. O. Chidambaram College, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, India