

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_97069
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of an Innovative Fibreglass Mobile Sink Basin: An Intervention for Hand Washing in an Era of Pandemics
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community. Is the title of the article suitable? Maybe will be better: Innovative Fibreglass Mobile Sink Basin: An Intervention for Hand Washing in an Era of Pandemics Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Please correct the abstract. Correct it as directed in the comments in the submitted article Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? In this article I believe that the results and the discussion should be written separately. Correct it as directed in the comments in the submitted article Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Improve methodologies and discussion. Correct the description of the results. Correct as directed in the comments in the submitted article. Are the references sufficient and recent? Correct and update references: i. provide correct titles and authors; ii add electronic access; iii. Make editorial and linguistic corrections; iii. Include publications you are referring to that are not in references iii. standardize according to the publisher's instructions; in the absence of electronic access, provide other. Other comments in the comments in the submitted article	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not competent to assess the correctness of the English language	
Optional/General comments	Drawings, photos should be corrected (take photos or drawings of the materials from which the sink was made) Please, after correcting the photos of the drawings, sign each of them separately, e.g. a) b) c). I believe that it would be appropriate to review the hand washing devices available on the market at the outset. I think authors should turn to the Patent Attorney to help them with this. See the attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jolanta Grażyna Zuzda
Department, University & Country	Białystok Univeristy of Technology, Poland