

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_97397
Title of the Manuscript:	FRESHWATER PRAWNS DIVERSITY (CRUSTACEA: DECAPODA) IN THE RIVER KOSHI, NORTH BIHAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	[1] The article is important to the scientific community. Especially to the agricultural industry and the environmental sector. [2] The title of the article suitable. Maybe with simple amendment. [3] The author wrote a good article. This is an interesting study of Freshwater Prawns Diversity (Crustacea: Decapoda). [4] The article provide good photos representing the prawn. [5] Please provide relevant map to make sure we know the exact location of the study area and the affected are. [6] Please add more discussion and relevant suggestion of the study. [7] Please check grammar error. [8] I could not find the link given <a href="http://www.mapsofindia.com">www.mapsofindia.com</a> . Please find other relevant link. [9] The subsections and structure is fine. [10]The manuscript is scientifically correct. [11]It is suggested that the writer add more literature review of the subject matter.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is well-written, organised, and of high quality. I would be happy to recommend the manuscript for Asian Journal of Research in Zoology as it is an example of good study on the subject matter.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eleanor Daniella Binti Lokman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malaysia</b>