

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_97397
Title of the Manuscript:	FRESHWATER PRAWNS DIVERSITY (CRUSTACEA: DECAPODA) IN THE RIVER KOSHI, NORTH BIHAR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, the paper presents an important information to the community. It discusses diversity of freshwater prawns that is significant food resource in the country subjected. This also helps to disseminate information the current prawn species found in the area.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>I found that the abstract needs an improvement. Please refer to the manuscript for the details of the reviewed comments. For the subsection specifically the study area, please provide a map of the study area. This is to show the audience of the area being studied.</p> <p>I believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct. However, discuss thoroughly the results discussion where the authors need to provide recent literatures of the freshwater prawn relative to the species recorded. The data shows significant information. Just needs improvements.</p> <p>The references should be followed to the required format of the journal. The study is good and it has to be improved as well.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cresencio C Cabuga
Department, University & Country	Del Pilar National High School, Philippines