

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_96222
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF PHYTOPHTHORA BLIGHT OF PIGEON PEA [(Cajanus cajan L. Mill sp.)] THROUGH THE USE OF NEEM (Azadirachta indica) PLANT PARTS AQUEOUS EXTRACTS IN OWERRI, IMO STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes! 2. I suggested one on the manuscript Management of Phytophthora blight of Pigeon pea (<i>Cajanus cajan</i>) using aqueous extracts of Neem (<i>Azadirachta indica</i>) plant seeds in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria 3. Yes, but need some corrections indicated on the ms. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Other suggestions and commentaries are on the Ms_AJRCS_96222.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Maria Arambarri
Department, University & Country	National University of La Plata, Argentina