

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_97826
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximal Femoral Osteosynthesis Material Failure Three Weeks Postoperative in a 14 Years old Patient Suffering From Cerebral Palsy
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Surgical treatment of long bones fractures in patients with cerebral palsy due to impaired muscle tone and impaired trophic innervation is challenge issue. It is necessary to be especially careful choosing plates for performing corrective osteotomies</p> <p>2. According to my opinion the title is not correct to this difficult case. I think it would be better to consider in title initial problem and their decision, for example: "Staged surgical manage after fail subtrochanteric femoral derotation osteotomy using LCP in patient with cerebral palsy".</p> <p>3. I think it would be better to add one sentences the reason and explanation why on the first step was used such LCP plate without tenotomy?</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. I think so but I hope Author will change some words and phrases which are not correct for scientific article, for example: "Surgical decision was taken to correct the deformity of the right hip". "At follow up, the patient was doing well, improvement of the pain, good surgical site cicatrisation". "....using a solid system from the beginning and treating the spasticity medically and/or surgically to protect the osteosynthesis material".</p> <p>6. 9 out of 13 literary references are older than 5 years so that Author should add the newest references and remove some old.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	As for me Author of article have to make a stylistic correction of the English language	
Optional/General comments	The issue of article is very important and has shown that performing corrective osteotomies of femur in cerebral palsy patient needs to accurate surgical planning and correct choosing of plates or other fixators.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Makarov Vasyl
Department, University & Country	City Government Clinic Hospital, Ukraine