

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_97358
Title of the Manuscript:	Adolescent hallux valgus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is important because of the contributions it makes with novel results that expand knowledge on the subject</p> <p>We recommend changing the title to a more related one. Example. Hallux valgus in adolescents. Surgical corrections.</p> <p>It is comprehensive, although in the discussions it can be more summarized.</p> <p>We suggest reducing the words of the Abstract to 250 or less. Give Summary style (looks more like Conclusions) to differentiate it from the procedures and results of the rest of the text.</p> <p>We also recommend including the keywords.</p> <p>In the Introduction you should argue about the objectives of the work. What scientific contributions does it make to the subject matter, etc.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Refer to what materials and methods were used, statistical tests, treatments, surgery, x-rays, etc. That's what this subscript is for.</p> <p>Conclusions: We consider that the Conclusions are scarce for the amount of results obtained and their discussion.</p> <p>It is necessary to correct some details that are indicated as comments in the text, especially those referring to the indiscriminate use of personal verbs. These must be replaced by impersonal verbs.</p> <p>They have a long time record, many in the 21st century.</p> <p>The author must review the comments that are indicated in the text and solve them.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It's appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	If the details indicated are resolved, the document can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Remedios Hernández
Department, University & Country	Cuban Association of Veterinary Medicine, Cuba