

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_97525
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOMETRIC AND MORPHOLOGIC ASSESSMENT OF THE PLACENTA, BIRTH WEIGHT, AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS IN FULL-TERM NEONATES.
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, its useful to next generation to motivate to learn in the evidence based research. Yes, its suitable. Good Explanation and motivating to learn. Appropriate, if pos include is there any limitation and acknowledgement. Yes. If pos , include more recent reviews in discussion. If pos, include 80 % from recent 5 years publications and 20% only from more than 5 years	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good.	
Optional/General comments	If pos, include any diagrammatic representation instead of all tables.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajathi Sakthivel
Department, University & Country	The Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University, India