

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_97228
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Substitution of Sorghum Grain by Teff (Eragrostis tef) Grain on the Performance of Broiler Chicks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, replacement study gives alternatives to conventional feed constituents and it is essential for economics of poultry and it is also related to human grain needs</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract not as per authors guidelines</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, Table 4 and 5 has same title but have different values? What it indicates?Yes</p> <p>(Add few references in the year of 2020 to 2022 if available)</p> <p>Journal reference style not followed (see manuscript template)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, please check the stat again and give the necessary superscripts. No need to provide superscripts if results are non-significant.</p> <p>Word replacement is fit than the substitution word.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All main titles should be in uppercase, bold and 11 font size. Tables should be in format given in the template</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prfullakumar Vasantao Patil
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, MAFSU, India