

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97868
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF PARENTS AND EDUCATORS IN MANAGING THE RISKS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. It has the potential to be important for the scientific community. However, it needs to be written academically. 2. The title is suitable 3. The abstract is comprehensive 4. The sections were divided into 2 only: Introduction and Conclusion. Suggestion – Maybe the author can divide into 3: Introduction, Literature Review, and Conclusion. Based on my understanding, this article is more on sharing findings from other studies, hence the Literature Review section is suggested. 5. The manuscript needs to be reviewed as it is not written as an academic article. By including findings from other studies, the manuscript can be potentially important and relevant. 6. Most of the references are quite current. However, for a literature review paper, the author needs a lot more references as the author needs to compare and contrast these findings before having own voice/opinions. Citation: It seems that throughout this article, no citation is detected. Hence it seems like a non-academic article instead. Since this article looks like a literature review article, citation is critical as findings from other studies is the core of this article. Style of writing: Generally, the author has been using formal language throughout the article. Need to read more academic articles in order to improve on the academic writing. Avoid writing in point forms/incomplete sentences.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is fair. Need to familiarise with journal article writing style such as using passive forms.	
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting article. However, the lack of knowledge on writing an academic article has hampered its gist as it is written without any concrete evidence from previous studies. The author needs to rewrite the whole article to make it more presentable as an academic journal article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasreena Abdul Rahman
Department, University & Country	Institut Aminuddin Baki, Malaysia