

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97215
Title of the Manuscript:	Promoting Innovation and Practice of Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Course with Curriculum Civics as a Handle
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.Yes. 2.Yes. 3.Yes. 4.Yes. 5.Yes. 6.Yes.</p> <p>This paper focuses on promoting the innovation and practice of artificial intelligence curriculum, taking Civics as an example. This topic looks interesting. I have some other comments as follows:</p> <p>In References, the format of the author's names is inconsistent. Sometimes abbreviations are used, and sometimes full names.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is basically good. However, there still are a few English grammar errors. For example, On Page 3, before Section 2.2, "...character, ideological and comprehensive..." should be "...character, ideological, and comprehensive...". On Page 3, in Section 2.2, Line 16, "practical teaching and project practice is ..." should be "practical teaching and project practice are ... ". Hope the author(s) can check and correct them.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xinxing Wu
Department, University & Country	Midway University, United States