

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97215
Title of the Manuscript:	Promoting Innovation and Practice of Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Course with Curriculum Civics as a Handle
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important because it is a relevant topic that requires a proposal for its application. Artificial intelligence is being implemented, requiring professionals to have training for its proper use from their initial professional training.</p> <p>2. It should be: Civic Curriculum in the Introduction to Artificial Intelligence (AI) course</p> <p>3. It is not complete, it should refer to the effectiveness of the proposal and the recommendations for the promotion of professional development and reform.</p> <p>4. The sections are appropriate, however they are not well structured. There is no clear argument. It is limited to describing the proposal, but it does not support it, there is no evidence.</p> <p>5. Requires compliance with the APA format:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • References do not appear. • There is no argument. • There is no justification or statement of the problem. • There is no background or support for the proposal. • The model of the proposal does not appear. • There is no conclusion of the proposal, but small summaries of each aspect developed. <p>6. Requires increasing referrals.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The length of the paragraphs must be uniform. ○ Revise the use of punctuation marks. ○ Avoid redundancy of words or phrases in the text. ○ If the acronym has been used, do not rewrite the word "Artificial Intelligence" again. ○ Bibliography in APA format 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I have identified redundancies in the use of language. You should use more technical language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Isabel Aldazábal Contreras
Department, University & Country	Universidad De Ciencias Aplicadas, Perú