

Original Research Article

(Efficiency) Allocative Efficiency in Rainfed Maize Production System by Small-Scale Farmers in Central Agricultural Zone of Cross River State, Nigeria.

Abstract

The study determined resource use efficiency in maize crop production by small-scale farmers in the Central Agricultural Zone of Cross River State Nigeria. It specifically examined the allocative efficiency of resources used by small-scale farmers in rainfed maize production in the area. Primary data were used to conduct the study. Statistical tools employed were multiple regression analysis and allocative efficiency index (AEI). The results of the multiple regression analysis revealed that the variables of farm size (x_1), farm capital (x_3), fertilizer (x_4), farm credit (x_6), extension service (x_7), seeds planted (x_8) had a positive relationship with the output of maize. The allocative efficiency index (AEI) of the various productive resources showed that farm size (x_1), fertilizer (x_3), and herbicides (x_5) were found to be underutilized since their allocative efficiency index (AEI) was greater than one (1) and their marginal value product (MVP) were greater than their marginal factor cost (MFC) while Labour (x_2) was over-utilized as the allocative efficiency index (AEI) was less than one (1). Based on these findings, the following recommendations were made; maize farmers should improve their use of productive resources such as land, capital, fertilizers, herbicide and seeds. Labour factor should be maximally utilized to create a favourable return to a unit of man day employed in maize production in the area.

Keywords: Maize Farmers, allocative Efficiency, rainfed, Small-scale, Production, Central Agricultural Zone.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zeamays*) is one of the world's most important staple food crops and a staple food of great socio-economic importance in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Nigeria is the 11th largest producer of maize in the world, and the 2nd largest maize producer in Africa after South Africa [2]. It is the most widely grown crop by smallholder farmers which covers over 25 million hectares in sub-Saharan Africa [3]. Maize has received widespread attention from international and regional bodies due to its high productivity, wide adaptation, relative ease of cultivation, processing, storage, transportation and high-income generating potential.

Maize is assumed to be a miracle seed for Nigeria's agricultural and economic development. Maize is one of the farm's products that gives an incredible return on investment as a little monetary investment in maize production can yield a sizeable level of income and profit [4].

Maize has been of great importance in providing food for man, feed for livestock and raw materials for some agro-based industries. It has the potential for food security and poverty alleviation. Maize grains are utilized in various ways, fresh corn can be roasted or boiled and can be taken as snacks, ripe and dried maize can also be milled into flour for the preparation of breakfast cereal, popcorn, corn oil, glucose, starch and alcohol [5].

The output of maize produced in Nigeria had been reported to be at an average of 1.69 tons/hectare only which is very low compared to the average output in the United State of America of 11.00 tons/hectare in 2019 [6]. Could this be attributed to low productivity from maize farmers on grounds of inefficiencies of resource use? Farmers were found to be inefficient in the use of their farm productive resources in rice production in Obubra Local Government of Cross River, Nigeria [7], and so could one be tempted to say that this also applies to maize production? However, if farmers are aware of the level of their farm's productive resources, they may be able to improve their production [8]. Given this doubt, it is necessary to examine the resource use in rainfed maize production by small-scale farmers as this will help draw attention to those areas that could be better managed to improve maize production in our environment. In the Central Agricultural Zone of Cross River State, Nigeria, farmers cultivate maize under rainfed ecology using various farm resources to produce maize from their farm plots. One imagines then how efficiently these resources (land, labour, capital seeds, fertilizer, herbicide, farm credit and extension services) are used by small-scale farmers in this area to produce maize to meet the demand of both human and livestock requirements. The desire to provide answers to these questions created the pathway for this research.

METHODOLOGY

Study Area: The study was conducted in the Central Agricultural Zone of Cross River State, Nigeria. The zone lies between latitude $5^{\circ}25'N$ of the Equator and longitude $8^{\circ}25'E$ of the Greenwich meridian. It is bounded to the North by Yala and Ogoja L.G.A, to the south by Biase L.G.A, to the East by the Republic of Cameroon and to the West by Ebonyi State. It has a land mass of 8762km^2 , with an annual rainfall of 2942mm to 3424mm per annum [9]. Its average temperature is 29°C . Farmers in the Central Agricultural Zone are predominantly arable crop farmers, though some farmers cultivate tree crops like cocoa and oil palm. Major crops grown in the area are yam, maize, cassava, melon, cocoyam, plantain, pepper, cocoa and rice [10]. The Central Agricultural Zone of Cross River State is made up of six local government areas (Abi, Yakurr, Obubra, Ikom, Etung and Boki).

Method of data collection

Data for this study was collected majorly from primary sources. The primary data were obtained from a structured questionnaire and personal interviews. Data were collected on farm productive inputs such as land size, labour, farm capital, fertilizer, herbicide, farm credit, extension service and seeds planted. Personal interviews and field observations were also employed to validate the information

supplied by the respondents. A direct contact approach was also used to help minimize the percentage of distraction and unnecessary delays.

Analytical tools

Multiple regression analysis and allocative efficiency index (AEI) were used as analytical tools to investigate the objectives. Multiple regression analysis was used to determine factors of resources in maize production in the area. The implicit model is as specified thus;

$$Y=f(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n) \quad (i)$$

where:

Y= output

f= function

X₁..... X_n= variables of interest,

This is explicitly specified thus:

$$Y=b_0+b_1X_1+b_2X_2+b_3X_3+b_4X_4+b_5X_5+b_6X_6+b_7X_7+b_8X_8+u \dots(ii)$$

where:

Y = Output (kg) of maize

X₁= Land size (hectare)

X₂= Labour (man-days)

X₃= farm Capital (naira)

X₄ = Fertilizer (kg)

X₅= Herbicide (lit)

X₆ = farm credit (naira)

X₇ = Extension (dummy)

X_8 = Seeds planted (kg)

b_0 = intercept

U_t = Error term

The three functional forms of the model are expressed below;

Linear function

$$Y = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + b_6X_6 + b_7X_7 + b_8X_8 + u \dots\dots\dots(iii)$$

Semi-log functional form

$$Y = \ln b_0 + b_1 \ln X_1 + b_2 \ln X_2 + b_3 \ln X_3 + b_4 \ln X_4 + b_5 \ln X_5 + \dots + b_n \ln X_n + u \dots\dots (iv)$$

Double log functional form

$$\log Y = \log b_0 + b_1 \log X_1 + b_2 \log X_2 + b_3 \log X_3 + b_4 \log X_4 + \dots + b_n \log X_n + u \dots\dots (v)$$

Allocative Efficiency

The allocative efficiency index (AEI) was used to determine the efficiency of the resource used. This was done by computing the ratio of the marginal value product (MVP) to the marginal factor cost (MFC) used in production. The ratio used in determining the efficiency of resources will be calculated as:

$$AEI = \frac{MVP}{MFC} \text{ or } K = \frac{MVP}{P_x}$$

where:

AEI or K= Allocative efficiency index

MVP=Marginal value product of the various inputs. (MPP x P_y)

MPP= marginal physical product

P_y = unit price of output

MFC= Marginal factor cost (cost of unit input) (P_x)

If the ratio is equal to one (1), it indicates that the resource is efficiently utilized. If the ratio is greater than one (1), it's indicative that the resource is underutilized, if the ratio is less than one (1), it indicates that the resource is excessively utilized.

Mathematically, this can be represented as:

If $\frac{MVP}{MFC} = 1$; the resource used is efficient,
MFC

If $\frac{MVP}{MFC} \neq 1$; the resource used is not efficiently utilized,
MFC

If $\frac{MVP}{MFC} > 1$; the resource used is under-utilized
MFC

If $\frac{MVP}{MFC} < 1$; the resource used is over-utilized
MFC

Results and Discussion

Table 1: multiple regression estimates of rainfed maize production function using the functional forms

Determinant Variables	Linear	Semi-log	Double-Log (le)
Constant	-05.688 (1660)	-5719.008 (-1.175) **	1.044 (1.175) **
Land (x_1)	1307.903 (3.120) *	542847 (0.991)	0.212 (1.585) **
Labour (x_2)	-7.290 (-2.070)	-76.246 (-0.133)	-0.74 (-0.526) ***
Farm capital (x_3)	0.005 (1.533)	92.755 (0.293)	0.220 (2.848) *
Fertilizer (x_4)	0.213 (0.555)	985.386 (0.011) ***	0.35 (3.499) *
Herbicide (x_5)	-5.906 (-0.544)	-838.372 (-2.832) **	-0.147 (-2.032) **
Farm Credit (x_6)	0.002 (0.535)	103.236 (0.427)	0.160 (2.712) *
Extension (x_7)	17.979 (0.275)	258.461 (0.707)	0.047 (0.524) ***
Seedsplanted (x_8)	36.740 (1.016)	605.999 (1.307)	0.311 (2.742) *
R^2	0.291	0.237	0.759
F-ratio	11.207*	4.203*	42.490*

Source: Field data, 2022

*Significant at 1%, **significant at 5% and ***significant at 10%, (t-values) are in parentheses.

Table 1 above shows the result of multiple regression analysis of the field data. From the estimation of the three equations (Linear, semi-log and double-log functions). The double log functional model was chosen as the lead equation (LE) to discuss the result based on the apriori expectation, economic theory, and statistical and economic conditions.

The model (double log function) reveals that variables such as farm size (x_1) farm capital (x_3), fertilizer (x_4) farm credit (x_6), extension services (x_7), and seeds planted (x_8) had positive coefficients and were also significant. However, labour (x_2) and herbicide had negative coefficients and were also significant. The result also indicates that 75% (R^2) of variations in the output of rainfed maize are jointly explained by the explanatory variables, while the F ratio (42.490) indicates the overall significance of the model. The elasticity of production concerning the inputs were 1.585,-0.526, 2.848, 3.499, -2.032, 0.524, 2.742 for land, labour, farm capital, fertilizer, herbicide, farm credit, extension services and seeds planted respectively. Fertilizer was found to be the most important determinant of output in maize production in the area.

Table:2 Estimation of the Allocative Efficiency

Explanatory Variable	Mean	Marginal value product MVP = $B. (\bar{y}/\bar{x})_p y$	Marginal factor cost (MFC)	Allocative efficiency index (AEI)= MVP/MFC	Description of efficiency index
Output(Y)	756.509				
Land Size	0.803	15,069.826	10000	1506.9826	Under-utilized
Labour	124475	-0.050094	2000	-2.50	Over-utilized
Capital	11415	959566720	150000	63971.115	Under-utilized
Fertilizer	103.92	1268002	20000	63.4001	Under-utilized
Herbicide	2.409	2237238	3500	639.210	Under-utilized
Seeds planted	5.464	1671031	400	4177.57	Under-utilized

Table 2 shows the result of the estimated allocative efficiency of productive resources on maize production in the study area. The result indicates that farm size (x_1), fertilizer (x_3) and herbicide (x_5) were all found to be grossly underutilized since their allocative efficiency index (AEI) were all greater than one (1) and their marginal value product (MVP) was greater than their marginal factor cost (MFC). However, Labour (x_2) was over-utilized.

Conclusion and recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, it is concluded that farm-productive resources such as land, fertilizer, herbicide, and seeds planted, positively and significantly affect the level of maize production in the study area. The allocative efficiency index (AEI) reveals that land, capital, fertilizer, herbicides and seeds planted were all found to be underutilized since their allocative efficiency index (AEI) were all greater than one (1). Labour (x_2) was reported to be over-utilized.

This study recommends that more productive resources should be employed by rainfed maize farmers to increase rainfed maize production since all inputs except labour were found to be under-utilized. It is recommended that farmers be advised to use their productive resources to the point where the values of the marginal value products (MVPs) equate their factor Prices (i.e $MVPs = P_{x_s}$).

References

1. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture(IITA), Maize, (Zea Mays). 2021, accessed; 19 July 2022. Available; <http://www.iita.org/cropsnews/maize/>
2. Agridemy. Maize Farming in Nigeria. 2022. Accessed: 8 Oct 2022, available: <https://agricdemy.com>
3. Leonard G. Importance of Pesticide for Growing Maize in Sub-Saharan, 2014. Accessed: 9 September 2020. <https://croplife.org/case-study/importance-of-pesticides-for-growing-maize-in-sub-saharan-africa/>
4. Dickson U. Analysis of maize profitability among smallholder farmers in Amabinga District, Tanzania. 2022.3.2.13.
5. Awais N, Maize; Multipurpose Edible Grain. <https://www.cropforlife.com/maize/> Accessed: 10 Oct 2022.
6. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, (IITA). The business incumbent platform sets a record for maize production per hectare in Nigeria. 2020, available: <https://www.cgiar.org/news-events/news/iita-bip-sets-record-for-maize-production-per-hectare-in-nigeria/>
7. Oniah MO, KuyeOO, Idiong IC. The efficiency of Resource Use in Swamp Rice production in Obubra Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria. Middle East Journal of Scientific Research, 2008; 3 (3): 145-148.
8. OnumaduFN, EkwughaGN, Osahon EE. Resource Use Efficiency in arable crop production in Oyi Local Government Area, Anambra State, Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research. 2014 Volume 3, Issue 1.
9. National Population Commission (NPC). Census Figures for Nigeria. 2006, Accessed: Nov 2022, from: <http://www.population.gov.ng>
10. Cross River Agricultural Development Programme. The Impact of Cross River Agricultural and Rural Development Projects in Cross River State. 2012, Quarterly bulletin, vol. 13, pp.13-19.