

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_96249
Title of the Manuscript:	Allocative Efficiency in Rainfed Maize Production System by Small-Scale Farmers in Central Agricultural Zone of Cross River State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for scientific community for the efficiency of resource use in small farm production system The title is focused on resource allocation: resource allocative efficiency.... The problem isn't clearly presented and the sample (number of farmers) Yes No: the sampling aspect is completely absent. What is the population and the size of the sample used? The problem and its context have to be reinforced. References on maize production in Nigeria are insufficient (total cultivated area, average farm area/yield for small and large-scale farms) Amelioration needed is based on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clarification of the problem and objective (s), - characterization of small farms (average size, existence of appropriate extension services, varieties used and yields, % of farmers using improved inputs: fertilizer, pesticide....) - number of farmers concerned (total size, the sample/method used for the study) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madi Ali
Department, University & Country	University of Maroua, National Advanced School of Engineering, Cameroon