

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_97717
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of cold storage in rooting of stem cuttings: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes because in several crops there is a need to cold store parts of the plants for later rooting. Yes Yes Yes but instead of: Rooting behaviour of hardwood cuttings of <i>Vitis spp.</i> After cold storage should be: Rooting behaviour of hardwood cuttings of <i>Vitis spp.</i> after cold storage Yes but there is a need to change the conclusion or to include more references from the literature. See General comments See General comments.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	There is a need to standardize the way of writing the name of the journals cited in the References: In some the name is not written with capital letters like for example in reference 1 is written Annals of botany instead Annals of Botany In the conclusion, the author writes: Crucially providing good sanitation etc...but in the text does not mention these aspects. Therefore, remove this sentence from the conclusion or what would be recommended: include in the text some references on these aspects.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Augusto Tulmann Neto
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo State University, Brazil