Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Food Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_97585**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment and Characterization of Migrants from some Cook-in Food Packages Utilized in Nigeria – 'Moi-Moi', a Case Study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	The originality of the study and the novelty it brings to the field is of actuality. The purpose of the article and its significance is stated clearly. The paper is well-structured, the abstract is concise, and the topic; in the introduction is supported by well-selected bibliographic data. The Experimental and Modeling Approach correctly. Recent references should be added	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Language need to be edited	
Optional/General comments	Journal guidelines must be followed It is important to state clearly the implications for research, practice, and society.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Salanta Liana Claudia**
Department, University & Country	**USAMV, Romania**