

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_96794
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey of salmonella species isolated in ready-to-eat fruits vended in Bukuru market, Jos South, Plateau State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>The study seems very interesting and require such data to strengthen the food safety</p> <p>Need to reframe the title again (as the main text reveals certain other pathogenic organism growth which are of concern)</p> <p>Include few details of other pathogenic organism</p> <p>The authors need to follow authors guide</p> <p>Need some modification and further literature review</p> <p>The main text needs to reflect more laboratory findings and make it comprehensive. Need additional discussion and more scientific justification to support the findings.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Good	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishal Chhetri
Department, University & Country	Royal Centre for Disease Control, Bhutan