

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_97735
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilisation of Fishtail Palm (Caryota urens L.) in tribal area of Andhra Pradesh – Present status and scope
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it provides basic information on fishtail palm uses as an economic and social plant. Furthermore, it presents the basis for further scientific, economic and social values evaluation of fishtail palm. Yes Yes, but with some editorial issues Yes Yes No, more references should be provided and cited.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, to a large extent, but the manuscript should be revised as Suggested in the reviewer's comment section on the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments The paper should be revised as indicated in my comments section.		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oladosu Ismail Oladeji
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintila University of Technology, Nigeria