

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_96553
Title of the Manuscript:	CAREER CHOICES AND ACADEMIC PROGRAMME AMONG SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE ASHANTI MAMPONG MUNICIPALITY, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, though it should have underscored the uniqueness of the research design since there is quite a number of researches on career choice and academic program. Yes, but it could have been better if the end product of learning has been specified. Yes, it was discussed from objectives to key results and is scientifically sound. Yes Yes, but it could have been better if normality was calculated to justify the use of parametric tool such as t-test. Yes, however, secondary citation should have been described. Beef up literature review.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but it is recommended to have the manuscript grammar checked aside from stylistics and editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines