

Original Research Article

Optimization and modelling of cyanide removal from cassava waste water using calcined and activated adsorbent mixtures of oyster shell and periwinkle shell ash

ABSTRACT

Cyanide, a toxic compound found in cassava wastewater which on frequent basis is discharged to the environment poses danger to the ecosystem; this research work aims to curb this menace. Temperature, pH, dosage, contact time, adsorbent mixture ratio and initial concentration were optimized using CCD on periwinkle-oyster shells composite mixtures for activated and also, calcined adsorbents on the cyanide adsorption from cassava wastewater. Three RSM models (linear, 2FI and quadratic) were applied to study, optimize and predict the adsorption process. ANOVA showed that quadratic model best predicted the processes for both the activated and calcined adsorbents having R^2 values of 0.9678, CV% of 15.51% for the activated and R^2 values of 0.9550, CV% of 18.54% for the calcined composite adsorbent. Surface and contour plots were generated to study the interaction between the adsorption parameters and cyanide adsorption. Results from this study also show that pH and initial cyanide concentration were the most determining factors of the adsorption process for both adsorbent samples with the highest adsorption capacity of 96.648% and 96.112% obtained for the activated and calcined composite adsorbent respectively. Optimal cyanide concentration of 59.3mg/l for the activated and 59.05mg/l for the calcined composite adsorbent at initial cyanide concentration of 61.034mg/l, pH of 11.134, contact time of 96.656mins, adsorbent dosage of 5.957g, adsorbent mixture of 0.758 and temperature of 40.55°C was obtained. Confirmation test on the analyzed best-fit quadratic models yielded actual adsorption efficiency of 90.174% as against the predicted adsorption efficiency of 89.83% for the activated and actual adsorption efficiency of 82.274% as against the predicted adsorption efficiency of 83.475% for the calcined composite adsorbent hence, confirms that both activated and calcined adsorbents of oyster-periwinkle shells composite mixture is effective for cyanide adsorption from cassava wastewater and the RSM models were effective to optimize and predict the process.

Keywords: cyanide, periwinkle-oyster shell composite adsorbent, cassava wastewater, adsorption.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cassava a tuberous, woody perennial plant of the spurge family had become a staple food in most developing African sub-Saharan countries and most Asian countries [18] and during the production of cassava into various products not only solid wastes but also liquid wastes hazardous to the environment is generated [13]. The wastewater from washing of the tubers during processing contains large amount of inert materials with low chemical oxygen demand (COD) while that obtained from the de-watering of the grated paste has high contaminating load of biological oxygen demand (BOD), COD and high cyanide content [27] and when discharged untreated to the environment, poses grave danger to the ecosystem [18].

Cyanide (C-N) is a chemical compound consisting of one atom of carbon connected to one atom of nitrogen by three molecular bonds ($C\equiv N$) and cyanides are compounds (substances formed by the joining of two or more atoms) that contain a cyanide group [1].

There are two cyanogenic glucosides present in cassava roots, namely linamarin that accounts for 95% of total cyanogen content and lotaustralin [32]. These cyanogens are distributed widely throughout the plants with large amounts in the leaves and root cortex [11]. When the roots are completely disrupted, all linamarin will come out in contact with its hydrolytic enzyme (linamarase), resulting in hydrolysis and subsequent removal of the breakdown products during washing.

Cyanides can both occur naturally or be man-made and most cyanides are powerful and rapid-acting poisons. A short-term exposure to cyanide causes rapid breathing, tremors, other neurological effects and long-term exposure causes weight loss, thyroid effects, nerve damage and death [30].

Large quantities of oyster shells are found in the coastal area of Niger delta region of Nigeria as waste with no further use after the edible part had been stripped off. However, a small amount is used instead of aggregates for temporary and remedial measures to cover up muddy areas for easy road access. Therefore, piles of oyster shells which are common in regions of oyster production, thus constitute nuisance to the environment [8].

Periwinkles are marine mollusks (gastropods) with dense spiral shells, found mostly in the Niger Delta lagoons and mudflats between East Calabar and West Nigerian Badagry [25].

According to [22], adsorption is a phenomenon that collects gas or liquid matter in molecules, atoms or ions on the surface of another solid substance. Adsorption can also include removal of dissolved solids in a solution or solvent by a solid surface or recovery of dissolved solvents by that surface, a process called desorption [29].

Studies on the use of seafood waste had been carried out to develop low cost adsorbent for the treatment of wastewater, and these include oyster shell [3], [33], [26], [12], land snail shell [19] and periwinkle shell [15], [14], [2], [9], [10], [28].

The primary purpose of embarking on this study is to model and optimize the adsorption of cyanide from cassava wastewater with a measured dosage of a mixture of periwinkle shell and oyster shell ash in calcinated and activated forms.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

Bitter cassava variety (TMS98/0581) was obtained from the Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. Periwinkle and oyster shells were gotten from a market dumpsite in Uyo, Akwa Ibom State of Nigeria. In this study analytical grade chemicals were used and the following computer software was utilized during this study:

- i. Microsoft excel
- ii. Design expert (version 11)

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Calcination and activation of the oyster shell and periwinkle shell

The oyster shells and periwinkle shells were separately washed with warm water to remove dirt and other impurities, first rinsed with clean water and finally rinsed with distilled water. They were separately sun dried afterwards to further dehydrate them before they were separately pulverized into powder using a hammer mill and sieved with a 106 μm Tyler sieve. The methods of [16], [23], [31] with slight modifications were used to calcinate and activate the oyster shells and periwinkle shells separately. The resulting powdered precursor was calcinated using Gallenkamp muffle furnace (serial number 1B2613B) at limited supply of air operated at a temperature of 600°C for 2 hours and allowed to cool to room temperature afterwards. Chemical activation was done using ortho-phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4). The calcined sample (100g) was soaked in 200 ml of 0.5 M of H_3PO_4 for 12 hours in order to allow sufficient time for the surface pores to be properly activated. The sample was then heated to form a paste. The paste was re-introduced into the muffle furnace (serial number 1B2613B) at a temperature of 700°C for 2 hours and allowed to cool to room temperature again. The resulting activated sample was washed with distilled water to remove excess acid, oven-dried at 100°C for 12 hours and finally stored in an air-tight container.

2.2.2 Preparation of cassava wastewater

The method of [14] was used to prepare the cassava wastewater. The cassava was peeled, washed and crushed to a pulp. 2kg of the cassava pulp was soaked in 20L of water overnight. The cassava pulp was then squeezed and sieved out leaving the remaining filtrate added to the water used to wash the peeled tubers. The sample was stored in a container and refrigerated in order to slow down microbial activity.

2.2.3 Experimental Design

The range of values for the adsorption parameters utilized is shown in Table 1. The experimental design yielded a total of 52 runs (for each set of all 6 adsorption parameters combined) each for the mixture of activated oyster and periwinkle shell ash (MASA), then a mixture of calcined oyster and periwinkle shell ash (MCSA) making a total of 104 runs for both adsorbents.

Table 1: Range of factors of experiment

Factors	Range
A; pH	4 to 12
B; contact time (minutes)	80 to 100
C; adsorbent dosage (g)	2 to 6
D; adsorbent mixture ratio	0.5 to 0.9
E; temperature (°C)	25 to 45

2.2.4 Experiment procedure for cyanide adsorption

Batch process technique was employed in the determination of amount of cyanide concentration adsorbed by the adsorbents. The varying factors presented in Table 1 was used simultaneously to carry out the batch adsorption experiment in 250ml conical flask. The conical flask and its content was agitated at a stirring speed of 150 rpm using an orbital shaker (Optima, Model 05-752). Drop-wise addition of HCl and/or NaOH solutions was used to control the pH, varying temperature (tempt.) was controlled by a water bath shaker. Distilled water was used to control the concentration (conc.) of cyanide, amount of dosage used was dependent on the ratio of the mixture. The adsorbent mixture ratio is expressed as ratio of oyster shell to periwinkle shell (that is, oyster shell : periwinkle shell). For example, a run of adsorbent dosage of 4g and adsorbent mixture ratio of 1 implies that 2g of oyster shell ash and 2g of periwinkle shell ash was mixed, a run of adsorbent dosage of 4g and adsorbent mixture ratio of 0.7 implies that 1.65g approximately of oyster shell ash and 2.35g approximately of periwinkle shell ash was mixed. A constant volume of 100ml of cassava wastewater (mixture of distill water and cyanide concentration) was used per batch (or run). The initial concentration and final concentration of the cyanide was determined using a UV Spectrophotometer (Model T60) at wavelength of 490 nm. The percentage cyanide adsorbed (adsorption rate efficiency, R) by MASA, then MCSA was calculated using Equation [21], [24]:

$$R(\%) = \frac{C_o - C_e}{C_o} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

C_o = Initial cyanide concentration in wastewater (mg/l),
 C_e = Final cyanide concentration in wastewater (mg/l)

2.2.5 Experiment procedure for cyanide adsorption

On completing the laboratory experiment, the data obtained was utilized in the generation of response surface methodology (RSM) models. The 3 RSM models applied for the analysis of response 1 and response 2 are:

i. Linear model

$$R = a_0 + a_1A + a_2B + a_3C + a_4D + a_5E + a_6F \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

ii. Two-factor interaction (2FI)

$$R = a_0 + a_1A + a_2B + a_3C + a_4D + a_5E + a_6F + a_7AB + a_8AC + a_9AD + a_{10}AE + a_{11}AF + a_{12}BC + a_{13}BD + a_{14}BE + a_{15}BF + a_{16}CD + a_{17}CE + a_{18}CF + a_{19}DE + a_{20}DF + a_{21}EF \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

iii. Quadratic model

$$R = a_0 + a_1A + a_2B + a_3C + a_4D + a_5E + a_6F + a_7AB + a_8AC + a_9AD + a_{10}AE + a_{11}AF + a_{12}BC + a_{13}BD + a_{14}BE + a_{15}BF + a_{16}CD + a_{17}CE + a_{18}CF + a_{19}DE + a_{20}DF + a_{21}EF + a_{22}A^2 + a_{23}B^2 + a_{24}C^2 + a_{25}D^2 + a_{26}E^2 + a_{27}F^2 \quad \text{Equation 4}$$

Where R is the response (quantity of the adsorbate adsorbed = final concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium, C_e), A to F represent each of the factors and a_0 to a_{27} are the regression coefficients to be obtained.

Each of the RSM model proposed was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) in order to determine the prediction performance of each RSM model and the model with the best prediction performance was utilized for the optimization of the responses done using the

Design Expert 11 by applying the numerical optimization technique for the minimization of final cyanide concentration at equilibrium, C_e for response 1, R1 (MASA) and response 2, R2 (MCSA). The confirmation test was performed afterwards to check and verify the models.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Characterization of cassava wastewater

The results for the characterization of the cassava wastewater shown in Table 2 indicates that the cassava wastewater is acidic and the cyanide content is highly above the stipulated standard of 0.2mg/l set by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the safe discharge of cyanide bearing wastewater into the environment [1], [14], [3], [20]. Table 2 showed a pH of 4.65 which was within the expected acidic range of 3.8 – 5.7 as reported by other researchers [1], [14], [3], [20].

Table 2: Characterization of the cassava wastewater sample

Property parameters	Values
pH	4.65
Cyanide Concentration (mg/l)	106

3.2 Characterization of the calcined and activated oyster and periwinkle shell ash

The physico-chemical properties of the prepared calcined oyster shell ash (COSA), activated oyster shell ash (AOSA), calcined periwinkle shell ash (CPSA) and activated periwinkle shell ash (APSA) and the methods used to obtain them are listed in Table 3.

Table 3: Physico-chemical properties of APSA, AOSA, CPSA and COSA

Parameters	APSA	AOSA	CPSA	COSA	Method
Bulk density (g/cm^3)	0.75	0.73	0.70	0.69	[4], [19]
Ash content (%)	2.38	2.52	2.49	2.61	[5]
Moisture content (%)	5.9	5.0	5.7	4.9	[6]
pH	6.8	6.7	6.4	5.6	[7]
Surface area (m^2/g)	915	909.4	891.33	874.67	Sear's method [4]

3.3 Amount of cyanide adsorbed by MASA and MCSA

The comparative plot of the amount of cyanide adsorbed using MASA and MCSA is shown in Figure 1.




Fig. 1: Comparative plot of the amount of cyanide (C-N) adsorbed by MASA and MCSA

Figure 1 shows that MASA had a better adsorption capacity than the MCSA and this affirms with the characterization performance of activated shell ash having a better adsorption performance than the calcined shell ash because of its higher bulk density [14], [3], lower ash content [17] and higher surface area [17].

3.4 Response obtained for all 3 RSM models (Linear, 2FI and Quadratic) using MASA (Response 1, R1)

The response is the final concentration of cyanide at equilibrium, C_e (mg/l). The comparative of the prediction of R1 for all three RSM models studied (linear, 2FI and quadratic models) is shown in Figure 2.




Fig. 2: Comparative plot of predicted R1 of all 3 RSM models studied and actual R1

Figure 3 shows the Design Expert software plot of actual values versus predicted values for R1.




Fig. 3: Design Expert software plot of actual values versus predicted values for R1 of all three RSM models studied

The summary of all three RSM models studied for R1 is shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Model summary statistics for R1

Source	Std. Dev.	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Predicted R ²	
Linear	3.41	0.8245	0.8011	0.7704	
2FI	3.52	0.8747	0.7869	0.6468	
Quadratic	2.00	0.9678	0.9316	0.7939	Suggested

Table 5 shows the generated equations for all three RSM models studied for R1.

Table 5: Generated RSM model equations for R1

Model	RSM Model equation	
Linear	$R1 = 34.467 - 1.469A - 0.131B - 0.687C - 2.320D - 0.180E + 0.126F$	
2FI	$R1 = 36.532 - 0.951A - 0.246B - 0.825C - 28.401D - 0.182E + 0.336F + 0.010AB - 0.057AC - 0.140AD + 0.001AE - 0.011AF + 0.006BC + 0.129BD + 0.000679BE - 0.000985BF + 1.105CD - 0.009CE - 0.004CF + 0.173DE + 0.051DF - 0.002EF$	
Quadratic	$R1 = 10.632 - 4.513A + 0.896B + 1.697C - 15.556D - 1.068E + 0.176F + 0.010AB - 0.057AC - 0.140AD + 0.001AE - 0.011AF + 0.006BC + 0.129BD + 0.000679BE - 0.000985BF + 1.105CD - 0.009CE - 0.004CF + 0.173DE + 0.051DF - 0.002EF + 0.223A^2 - 0.006B^2 - 0.315C^2 - 9.175D^2 + 0.013E^2 + 0.000798F^2$	Suggested

3.4 Response obtained for all 3 RSM models (Linear, 2FI and Quadratic) using MCSA (Response 2, R2)

The comparative of the prediction of response 2 for all three RSM models studied is shown in Figure 4.




Fig. 4: Comparative plot of predicted R2 of all 3 RSM models studied and actual R2

Figure 5 shows the Design Expert software plot of actual values versus predicted values for R2.




Fig. 5: Design Expert software plot of actual values versus predicted values for R² of all three RSM models studied

The summary of all three RSM models studied for R² is shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Model summary statistics for R²

Source	Std. Dev.	R ²	Adjusted R ²	Predicted R ²	
Linear	4.43	0.8550	0.8357	0.7991	
2FI	3.78	0.9298	0.8807	0.7588	
Quadratic	3.38	0.9550	0.9044	0.7317	Suggested

Table 7 shows the generated equations for all three RSM models studied for R².

Table 7: Generated RSM model equations for R²


Model	RSM Model equation	
Linear	R ² = 43.908 - 1.881A - 0.218B - 1.297C + 0.601D - 0.206E + 0.210F	


2FI	$R2 = -11.7537 - 3.371A + 0.192B + 3.760C + 42.984D - 0.031E + 0.513F + 0.025AB - 0.030AC - 0.603AD + 0.039AE - 0.016AF - 0.012BC - 0.427BD - 0.004BE - 0.001BF - 1.467CD - 0.034CE - 0.015CF + 0.041DE + 0.053DF - 0.000638EF$	Suggested
Quadratic	$R2 = 60.493 - 5.203A - 1.223B + 7.624C + 23.607D + 0.375E + 0.293F + 0.025AB - 0.030AC - 0.603AD + 0.039AE - 0.016AF - 0.012BC - 0.427BD - 0.004BE - 0.001BF - 1.467CD - 0.034CE - 0.015CF + 0.041DE + 0.053DF - 0.000638EF + 0.115A^2 + 0.008B^2 - 0.483C^2 + 13.841D^2 - 0.006E^2 + 0.001F^2$	


From Figures 2 and 4, Figures 3(a), (b) and (c) and 5(a), (b) and (c) and Tables 4 and 7, the quadratic model with the best tracking of both R1 and R2, none of its prediction response value falling on the negative region, closest nearness of the data points to the linear line emanating from point 0,0 and highest R² values signifies a better fit of the predicted response to the actual experimental response, hence it had the best prediction accuracy and was used for the optimization process of both R1 and R2.


3.5 Three-Dimensional (3D) plots showing interaction between the adsorption process parameters on R1 and R2


Figures 6 (A) to (O) shows the interaction between the adsorption parameters on R1 and the corresponding R2.














Fig. 6: 3D interaction between the adsorption parameters on R1 and the corresponding R2.

3.6 Optimization and confirmation test

From the numerical optimization of R1 and R2 done using the Design Expert 11, the factor values and optimal response of cyanide adsorption (minimization of final cyanide concentration at equilibrium, C_e for R1 and R2) is shown in Table 8, where:

CT = Contact time (mins), AD = Adsorbent dosage (g), AM = Adsorbent mixture ratio, D = Desirability

Table 8: Optimal adsorption parameter values for R1 and R2

No.	pH	CT	AD	AM	Tempt. (°C)	Initial C-N conc. (mg/l)	R1, MASA (mg/l)	R2, MCSA (mg/l)	D
1	11.134	96.656	5.957	0.758	40.550	61.034	1.734	1.984	1.000
2	11.262	96.117	5.882	0.899	36.017	61.997	1.591	2.307	1.000
3	11.897	99.504	5.918	0.815	43.997	72.880	2.004	1.178	1.000
4	11.344	97.886	5.957	0.681	44.129	63.917	1.917	1.834	1.000
5	9.774	94.572	5.739	0.900	42.643	60.007	2.004	2.290	1.000
6	10.561	96.593	6.000	0.883	36.175	60.002	1.233	2.218	1.000
7	10.888	98.863	5.996	0.676	44.963	79.125	1.883	2.312	1.000
8	11.919	99.739	5.665	0.900	37.446	60.231	1.727	2.250	1.000
9	11.734	99.744	5.994	0.896	31.286	61.746	1.781	2.088	1.000
10	10.811	97.866	5.774	0.878	42.959	61.231	1.656	1.340	1.000

The confirmation test was done in quadruple at a 95% confidence using pH of 8, contact time of 90mins, adsorbent dosage of 4g, adsorbent mixture ratio of 0.7, temperature of 35°C and initial cyanide concentration of 100mg/l and the results shown in Table 9, where:

PM = Predicted mean (final equilibrium cyanide concentration predicted by the Design Expert software, in mg/l); PE = Predicted efficiency (adsorption efficiency predicted by the Design Expert software, in %); AM = Actual mean (final equilibrium cyanide concentration observed during the laboratory experiment, in mg/l); AE = Actual efficiency (adsorption efficiency calculated using the actual mean, in %)

Table 9: Confirmation test results of R1 and R2

R1, MASA				R2, MCSA			
PM	PE	AM	AE	PM	PE	AM	AE
10.17	89.83	9.826	90.174	16.525	83.475	17.726	82.274

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results obtained from the study and the discussions made, it can be concluded that:

- i. Activated and calcined periwinkle-oyster shell composite mixture is effective adsorbent for cyanide adsorption from cassava wastewater.
- ii. Temperature, pH, dosage, contact time, mixture ratio and initial concentration are determining factors affecting cyanide adsorption from cassava wastewater using MASA and MCSA as adsorbents with pH and initial cyanide concentration being the most determining factors of the adsorption process.
- iii. MASA is more effective when compared to MCSA for the removal of the cyanide from the cassava wastewater but its corresponding MCSA also gives a close cyanide adsorption capacity, take for instance the adsorption capacity difference of 7.9% between the optimal response of MASA and MCSA shown in Table 9.
- iv. Quadratic model best predicts cyanide adsorption from cassava waste water using both MASA and MCSA.
- v. More of periwinkle shell in oyster-periwinkle adsorbent mix at any given adsorbent dosage favours cyanide adsorption from cassava wastewater, see Table 8.
- vi. This research work is beneficial to especially the rural settlements/communities in Nigeria, Africa and the world at large (who may not be properly enlightened/equipped to go through the rigors of first incurring more costs purchasing the ortho-phosphoric acid, properly activating the composite adsorbent mixtures with it, properly handling and finally recovering the acid after the cyanide adsorption process) as it would help in curbing the menace of cyanide polluted water being discharged to the environment.

REFERENCES

1. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry-ATSDR. Toxicological profile for cyanide. US department of health and human services. Public health service. Encyclopedia of global health, 4th ed. 2006;57-71.
2. Akanbi O, Babayemi, AK. Comparative analysis of heavy metal removal using activated bamboo and periwinkle shell, a case study of Cr (III) ion. Global Journal of Researches in Engineering: Chemical Engineering. 2019;19(2): 11-17.
3. Akpan OP, Etuk BR. Adsorption of cyanide from cassava wastewater using calcined and activated oyster shell ash. Journal of Engineering Research and Reports. 2019;6(1):1-9.
4. Al-Qodah Z, Shawabkah R. Production and characterization of granular activated carbon from activated sludge. Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering. 2009;26(1):127-136.
5. ASTM D2866. Standard test method for total ash content of activated carbon. ASTM International, West Conshohocken. 2004;105.

6. ASTM D2867. Standard test methods for moisture in activated carbon. ASTM International, West Conshohocken. 2009;47.
7. ASTM D3838-80. Standard test method for pH of activated carbon. ASTM International, West Conshohocken. 1999;140.
8. Attah IC, Etim RK, Sani JE. Response of oyster shell ash blended cement concrete in sulphuric acid environment. *Civil and environmental research*. 2019;11:4-7.
9. Awokoya KN, Sanusi RO, Oninla V, Ajibade O. Activated periwinkle shells for the binding and recognition of heavy metal ions from aqueous media. *International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry*. 2016;13(4):1-10.
10. Badmus MAO, Audu TOK, Anyata BU. Removal of lead ion from industrial wastewater by activated carbon prepared from periwinkle shells (*Typononus fuscatus*). *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Science*. 2007;31:251-268.
11. Cardoso AP, Mirion E, Ernesto M, Massaza F, Cliff J, Haque MR, Bradbury JH. Processing of cassava roots to remove cyanogens. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 2005;18:451-460.
12. Chayapat T, Sinsupha J. Adsorption of lead ions from synthetic wastewater using oyster shell. *International Journal of Environmental Research*. 2009;31(1): 45-53.
13. Cumbana A, Mirione E, Cliff J, Bradbury J. Reduction of cyanide content of cassava flour in Mozambique by the wetting method. *Food Chemistry*. 2007;101:894-897.
14. Eke-emezie NC, Etuk BR. Cyanide adsorption from cassava wastewater onto calcined periwinkle shell. *Journal of degraded and mining lands management*. 2019;7:2502-2458.
15. Eke-emezie NC, Etuk BR, Akpan OP, Chinweoke OC. Cyanide removal from cassava wastewater onto H₃PO₄ activated periwinkle shell carbon. *Journal of applied water science*. 2022;12:157.
16. Ekpete OA, Horsfall M. Preparation and characterization of activated carbon derived from fluted pumpkin stem waste. *Research Journal of Chemical Sciences*. 2011;1(3):10-17.
17. Evbuomwan BO, Agbede AM, Atuka MM. A comparative study of the physicochemical properties of activated carbon from oil palm waste (kernel shell and fibre). *International Journal of Science and Engineering Investigations*. 2013;2:75-79.
18. Eze S, Azubuike A. Assessment of the physicochemical properties and applications of some cassava varieties. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2010;5:309-314.
19. Gumus RH, Okpeku I. Production of activated carbon and characterization from snail shell waste (*Helix pomatia*). *Advances in chemical engineering and science*. 2015;5:51-61.
20. Kaewkannetra P, Imai T, Garcia-Garcia FJ, Chiu TY. Cyanide removal from cassava mill wastewater using *Azotobacter vinelandii* TISTR 1094 with mixed microorganisms in activated sludge treatment system. *Journal of Hazard Materials*. 2009;172:224-228.
21. Kannan N, Veemaraj T. Removal of Lead (II) ions by adsorption onto bamboo dust and commercial activated carbons - a comparative study, *e-Journal of Chemistry*. 2009;6(2):247-256.
22. Mhemeed AH. A general overview on the adsorption. College of Pharmacy, University of Misan, Iraq. 2018;22-27.
23. Njoku VO, Hameed BH. Preparation and characterization of activated carbon from corncob by chemical activation with H₃PO₄ for 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid adsorption. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2001;173(2):391-399.
24. Nwoko CIA, Mbonu PI, Okoji JN. A comparative study of adsorption of cyanide onto dried peels of plantain and banana. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*. 2016;4(7):190-196.

25. Nworie CE, Onukwuli DO, Ekebafé LO, Ezeugo JO. Preparation and characterization of periwinkle shell activated carbon. Nigerian Research Journal of Chemical Sciences. 2020;(8)1:709-711.
26. Odoemelam SA, Eddy NO. Studies on the use of oyster, snail and periwinkle shells as adsorbents for the removal of Pb²⁺ from aqueous solution. European Journal of Chemistry. 2008;6(1):213-222.
27. Oghenejoboh KM Effects of cassava wastewater on the quality of receiving water body intended for fish farming. British Journal of Applied Science & Technology. 2015;6:164-171.
28. Okuo JM, Okolo PO. Removal of heavy metal ions by blended periwinkle shells. Journal of Applied Sciences. 2006;6(3):567-571.
29. Stumm W. Chemistry of the solid water interface. John Willey and Sons, New York. 1992;31.
30. Tang P, Hseu YC, Chou HH, Huang KY, Chen SC. Proteomic analysis of the effect of cyanide on *Klebsiella oxytoca*. Current Microbiology. 2010;60(3):224-228
31. Verla AW, Horsefall M (Jnr), Verla EN, Spiff AI, Ekpéte OA. Preparation and characterization of activated carbon from fluted pumpkin (*Telfairia occidentalis*) seed shell. Asian Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences. 2012;1(3): 39-46.
32. White WLB, McMahon JM, Sayre RT. Regulation of cyanogenesis in cassava. Acta Horticulturae. 1994;375:69-77
33. Xu X, Lin X, Oh M, Park J. Oyster shell as a low-cost adsorbent for removing heavy metal ions from wastewater. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies. 2019;28(4):2949-2959.